Qualitative research: its nature and approaches

Objectives

After reading this chapter, you should be able to:

1. explain the difference between qualitative and quantitative research in terms of the objectives, sampling, data collection and analysis, and outcomes;

2. describe why qualitative research is used in marketing research;

3. understand the basic philosophical stances that underpin qualitative research;

4. understand the nature and application of ethnographic approaches;

5. understand how qualitative researchers develop theory through a grounded theory approach;

6. explain the potential of action research to qualitative marketing researchers;

7. discuss the considerations involved in collecting and analysing qualitative data collected from international markets;

8. understand the ethical issues involved in collecting and analysing qualitative data.

Qualitative research helps the marketer to understand the richness, depth and complexity of consumers.
Qualitative research forms a major role in supporting marketing decision-making, primarily as an exploratory design but also as a descriptive design. Researchers may undertake qualitative research to help define a research problem, to support quantitative, descriptive or causal research designs or as a design in its own right. Qualitative research is often used to generate hypotheses and identify variables that should be included in quantitative approaches. It may be used after or in conjunction with quantitative approaches where illumination of statistical findings is needed. In some cases qualitative research designs are adopted in isolation, after secondary data sources have been thoroughly evaluated or even in an iterative process with secondary data sources.

In this chapter, we discuss the differences between qualitative and quantitative research and the role of each in marketing research. We present reasons for adopting a qualitative approach to marketing research (Stage 2 of the marketing research process). These reasons are developed by examining the basic philosophical stances that underpin qualitative research. The concept of ethnographic techniques is presented, with illustrations of how such techniques support marketing decision-makers. The concept of grounded theory is presented, illustrating its roots, the steps involved and the dilemmas for researchers in attempting to be objective and sensitive to the expressions of respondents. Action research is an approach to conducting research that has been adopted in a wide variety of social and management research settings. Action research is developing in marketing research and offers great potential for consumers, decision-makers and researchers alike. The roots of action research are presented, together with the iterative stages involved and the concept of action research teams.

The first example illustrates a company whose marketing problems based on its products and competitive environment mean that it is well suited to support through qualitative research. The second example illustrates how companies are using techniques adapted from subject areas such as anthropology.

A research commitment more than skin deep

L’Oréal is the largest supplier of toiletries and cosmetics in the world. The group tucks under its umbrella some of the best known brands and companies in the beauty business: cosmetics houses Lancôme, Vichy and Helena Rubenstein, and fragrance houses Guy Laroche, Cacharel and Ralph Lauren. Given the French penchant for qualitative research, and given the nature of the cosmetics industry, Anne Murray, Head of Research, was asked which type of research she favoured.

‘We’re not particularly pro-quantitative or qualitative. Nevertheless, I do think qualitative in our area is very important. There are many sensitive issues to cover – environmental concerns, animal testing, intimate personal products. And increasingly, we have given to us very technical propositions from the labs, and what is a technical breakthrough to a man in a white coat is not necessarily so to a consumer. So the research department has to be that interface between the technical side and the consumer.”

Research takes an inventive approach

In qualitative research, research agencies and companies are continually looking to find better ways to understand consumers’ thought processes and motivations. This has led to a wealth of new research approaches, including techniques borrowed from anthropology, ethnography, sociology and psychology. Most new techniques have their basis in either observational research or
discuss discussion groups. Advocates of the former believe the consumer tells you only half the story. They stress the importance of watching their behaviour, hence the use of anthropology and ethnography techniques.

Intel has a specialist team of 14 researchers, including ethnographers, anthropologists and psychologists, whose principal form of research is in the home. ‘People don’t tell you things because they don’t think you’ll be interested. By going into their homes you can see where and how they use their computers,’ says Wendy March, Intel interaction designer of Intel Architecture.

These examples illustrate the rich insights into the underlying behaviour of consumers that can be obtained by using qualitative procedures.

Primary data: qualitative versus quantitative research

As explained in Chapter 4, primary data are originated by the researcher for the specific purpose of addressing the problem at hand. Primary data may be qualitative or quantitative in nature, as shown in Figure 6.1.

Dogmatic positions are often taken in favour of either qualitative or quantitative research by marketing researchers and decision-makers alike. The positions are founded upon which approach is perceived to give the most accurate understanding of consumers. The extreme stances on this issue mirror each other. Many quantitative researchers are apt to dismiss qualitative studies completely as giving no valid findings – indeed as being little better than journalistic accounts. They assert that qualitative researchers ignore representative sampling, with their findings based on a single case or only a few cases. Equally adamant are some qualitative researchers who firmly reject statistical and other quantitative methods as yielding shallow or completely misleading information. They believe that to understand cultural values and consumer behaviour requires interviewing or intensive field observation. Qualitative techniques they see as being the only methods of data collection sensitive enough to capture the nuances of consumer attitudes, motives and behaviour.³

Many qualitative researchers believe that intensive field observation is the only way to understand the depth and complexity of consumers.
There are great differences between the quantitative and qualitative approaches to studying and understanding consumers. The arguments between qualitative and quantitative marketing researchers about their relative strengths and weaknesses are of real practical value. The nature of marketing decision-making encompasses a vast array of problems and types of decision-maker. This means that seeking a singular and uniform approach to supporting decision-makers by focusing on one approach is futile. Defending qualitative approaches for a particular marketing research problem through the positive benefits it bestows and explaining the negative alternatives of a quantitative approach is healthy – and vice-versa. Business and marketing decision-makers use both approaches and will continue to need both.\(^4\)

The distinction between qualitative and quantitative research can be in the context of research designs as discussed in Chapter 3. There is a close parallel in the distinctions between ‘exploratory and conclusive research’ and ‘qualitative and quantitative research’. There is a parallel, but the terms are not identical. There are circumstances where qualitative research can be used to present detailed descriptions that cannot be measured in a quantifiable manner, for example in describing characteristics and styles of music that may be used in an advertising campaign or in describing the interplay of how families go through the process of choosing, planning and buying a holiday.

Conversely, there may be circumstances where quantitative measurements are used to conclusively answer specific hypotheses or research questions using descriptive or experimental techniques. Beyond answering specific hypotheses or research questions, there may be sufficient data to allow data mining or an exploration of relationships between individual measurements to take place. The concept of data mining illustrated in Chapter 5 allows decision-makers to be supported through exploratory quantitative research.

**The nature of qualitative research**

Qualitative research encompasses a variety of methods that can be applied in a flexible manner, to enable respondents to reflect upon and express their views or to observe their behaviour. It seeks to encapsulate the behaviour, experiences and feelings of respondents in their own terms and context.

Qualitative research is based on at least two intellectual traditions.\(^5\) The first and perhaps most important is the set of ideas and associated methods from the broad area of depth psychology.\(^6\) This movement was concerned with the less conscious aspects of the human psyche. It led to a development of methods to gain access to individuals’ subconscious and/or unconscious levels. So, while an individual may present a superficial explanation of events to themselves or to others, these methods sought to dig deeper and penetrate the superficial.

The second tradition is the set of ideas and associated methods from sociology, social psychology and social anthropology, and the disciplines of ethnography,
linguistics and semiology. The emphases here are upon holistic understanding of the world-view of people. The researcher is expected to ‘enter’ the hearts and minds of those they are researching, to develop an empathy with their experiences and feelings.

Both traditions have a concern with developing means of communication between the researcher and those being researched. There can be much interaction between the two broad traditions, which in pragmatic terms allows a wide and rich array of techniques and interpretations of collected data.

Qualitative research is a significant contributor to the market research industry, accounting for substantial expenditure, and is growing. In commercial terms, it is a billion-euro plus global industry. However, it is not just a matter of business value. Qualitative thinking has had a profound effect upon marketing and the market research industry as a whole.

**Rationale for using qualitative research**

It is not always possible, or desirable, to use structured quantitative techniques to obtain information from respondents or to observe them. Thus, there are several reasons to use qualitative techniques. These reasons, either individually or in any combination, explain why certain marketing researchers adopt a particular approach (Stage 2 of the marketing research process) to how they conduct research, analyse data and interpret their findings.

1 *Preferences and/or experience of the researcher.* Some researchers are more oriented and temperamentally suited to do this type of work. Just as some researchers enjoy the challenge of using statistical techniques, there are researchers who enjoy the challenges of qualitative techniques and the interpretation of diverse types of data. Such researchers have been trained in particular disciplines (e.g. anthropology) and philosophies (e.g. hermeneutics) that traditionally make use of qualitative research designs and techniques.

2 *Preferences and/or experience of the research user.* Some decision-makers are more oriented to receiving support in a qualitative manner. This orientation could come from their training but it could also be due to the type of marketing decisions they have to take. Decision-makers working in a creative environment of advertising copy or the development of brand ‘personalities’, for example, may have a greater preference for data that will feed such ‘artistic’ decisions. In the following example, consider how decision-makers would get to understand and represent the language used by teenagers. Consider also the implications for a brand if marketers do not fully understand the language and values of their target markets.

**Example**

Teenagers immediately recognise a communication in their language and are very quick to judge whether advertisers have got it right. They see ads and either like them, reject them, ignore them or, in many cases, discuss them. Teenagers are so fluent in ‘marketing speak’ because marketing and advertising are perceived by them to be the kind of work which can be creative, interesting and acceptable. They discuss with one another the advertising which they perceive to be targeting them.

In the spring and summer of 1997, Pelgram Walters International conducted a study called Global Village. The Global Village hypothesis contended that teenagers around the world have a common language, which speaks to them in the filmed advertising medium. Part of the study consisted of focus group discussions of 12- to 18-year-olds.

Pepsi’s Next Generation advertisement was criticised by more media-literate teenage markets (Britain, Germany and the US) for stereotyping teens and misunderstanding who they
are. The ad was a montage of very hip skateboarding teens, male teens wearing make-up, perhaps implying that Pepsi is for the next generation which looks thus. The main complaint was ‘we don’t look like that’, the teens saying that they were not all the same as one another. By aligning the brand image with these extreme images, the commercial was less appealing to mainstream teen consumers.

3 Sensitive information. Respondents may be unwilling to answer or to give truthful answers to certain questions that invade their privacy, embarrass them, or have a negative impact on their ego or status. Questions that relate to sanitary products and contraception are examples of personally sensitive issues. In industrial marketing research, questions that relate to corporate performance and plans are examples of commercially sensitive issues. Techniques that build up an amount of rapport and trust, that allow gentle probing in a manner that suits individual respondents, can help researchers get close to respondents, and may allow sensitive data to be elicited.

4 Subconscious feelings. Respondents may be unable to provide accurate answers to questions that tap their subconscious. The values, emotional drives and motivations residing at the subconscious level are disguised from the outer world by rationalisation and other ego defences. For example, a person may have purchased an expensive sports car to overcome feelings of inferiority. But if asked ‘Why did you purchase this sports car?’ he may say ‘I got a great deal,’ ‘My old car was falling apart’, or ‘I need to impress my customers and clients.’ The respondent does not have to put words to their deeper emotional drives until researchers approach them! In tapping into those deeper emotional drives, qualitative research can take a path that evolves and is right for the respondent.

5 Complex phenomena. The nature of what respondents are expected to describe may be difficult to capture with structured questions. For example, respondents may know what brands of wine they enjoy, what types of music they prefer or what images they regard as being prestigious. They may not be able to clearly explain why they have these feelings or where these feelings are coming from.

6 The holistic dimension. The object of taking a holistic outlook in qualitative research is to gain a comprehensive and complete picture of the whole context in which the phenomena of interest occur. It is an attempt to describe and understand as much as possible about the whole situation of interest. Each scene exists within a multi-layered and interrelated context and it may require multiple methods to ensure the researcher covers all angles. This orientation helps the researcher discover the interrelationships among the various components of the phenomenon under study. In evaluating different forms of consumer behaviour, the researcher seeks to understand the relationship of different contextual environments upon that behaviour. Setting behaviour into context involves placing observations, experiences and interpretations into a larger perspective. An example of this may be of measuring satisfaction with a meal in a restaurant. A questionnaire can break down components of the experience in the restaurant and quantify the extent of satisfaction with these. But what effect did the ‘atmosphere’ have upon the experience? What role did the type of music, the colour and style of furniture, aromas coming from the kitchen, other people in the restaurant, the mood when entering the restaurant, feelings of relaxation or tension as the meal went on, contribute to the feeling of atmosphere? Building up an understanding of the interrelationship of the context of consumption allows the qualitative researcher to build up this holistic view. This can be done through qualitative observation and interviewing.

7 Developing new theory. This is perhaps the most contentious reason for conducting qualitative research. Chapter 11 details how causal research design through experiments helps to generate theory. Qualitative researchers may argue that there are severe limitations in conducting experiments upon consumers and that quantita-
ative approaches are limited to elaborating or extending existing theory. The development of ‘new’ theory through a qualitative approach is called ‘grounded theory’, which will be addressed later.

On the Companion Website see Professional Perspective 14 ‘Quality research’ by Alicia Clegg. Alicia describes and illustrates applications of qualitative research and presents arguments that help in the understanding of why qualitative research may be of use or misleading to decision-makers.

### Philosophy and qualitative research

#### Positivist perspectives

In Chapter 2 we discussed the vital role that theory plays in marketing research. Researchers rely on theory to determine which variables should be investigated, how variables should be operationalised and measured, and how the research design and sample should be selected. Theory also serves as a foundation on which the researcher can organise and interpret findings. Good marketing research is founded upon theory and contributes to the development of theory to improve the powers of explanation, prediction and understanding in marketing decision-makers.

The dominant perspective of developing new theory in marketing research has been one of empiricism and more specifically positivism. The central belief of a positivist position is a view that the study of consumers and marketing phenomena should be ‘scientific’ in the manner of the natural sciences. Marketing researchers of this persuasion adopt a framework for investigation akin to the natural scientist. For many, this is considered to be both desirable and possible. A fundamental belief shared by positivists is the view that the social and natural worlds ‘conform to certain fixed and unalterable laws in an endless chain of causation’. The main purpose of a scientific approach to marketing research is to establish causal laws that enable the prediction and explanation of marketing phenomena. To establish these laws, a scientific approach must have, as a minimum, reliable information or ‘facts’. The emphasis on facts leads to a focus upon objectivity, rigour and measurement.

As an overall research approach (using the description of a paradigm or research approach as developed in Chapter 2) qualitative research does not rely upon measurement or the establishment of ‘facts’ and so does not fit with a positivist perspective. However, if qualitative research is just seen as a series of techniques, they can be used to develop an understanding of the nature of a research problem, and to develop and pilot questionnaires. In other words, the positivist perspective of qualitative research is to see it as a set of techniques, applied as preliminary stages to more rigorous techniques that measure, i.e. questionnaires. This use of qualitative techniques is fine but may be limiting. To conduct in-depth interviews, focus groups or projective techniques, to understand the language and logic of target questionnaire respondents makes good sense. However, using qualitative techniques just to develop quantitative techniques can affect how those techniques are used. As an illustration, we will examine how focus groups may be conducted.

The term ‘focus group discussion’ is commonly used across all continents, yet it subsumes different ways of applying the technique. There are two main schools of thought, which may be termed ‘cognitive’ and ‘conative’.

1 Cognitive. American researchers generally follow this tradition, which largely follows a format and interviewing style as used in quantitative studies. ‘American-style groups’ is shorthand in Europe for large groups (10 respondents on average), a structured procedure and a strong element of external validation. Within the cogni-
tive approach, the analysis or articulation has been worked on before, and so the interviews are largely meant to confirm or expand on known issues.

2. **Conative.** European researchers generally follow this tradition. This style assumes a different starting point, one that emphasises exploration, with analysis taking place during and after the group. There is less structure to the questions, with group members being encouraged to take their own paths of discussion, make their own connections and let the whole process evolve.

Table 6.1 summarises the differences between the US (cognitive) and European (conative) approaches to conducting focus groups. Note the longer duration of the European approach to allow the exploration to develop. To maintain the interest and motivation of participants for this time period, the interview experience must be stimulating and enjoyable.

**Table 6.1 The two schools of thought about ‘focus group discussions’**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Cognitive</th>
<th>Conative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purpose</td>
<td>Demonstration</td>
<td>Exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>10–12</td>
<td>6–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>1.5 hours</td>
<td>1.5 to 6 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewing</td>
<td>Logical sequence</td>
<td>Opportunistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Questions</td>
<td>Closed</td>
<td>Open</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Techniques</td>
<td>Straight question, questionnaires, hand shows, counting</td>
<td>Probing, facilitation, projectives, describing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response required</td>
<td>Give answers</td>
<td>Debate issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewer</td>
<td>Moderator</td>
<td>Researcher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observer’s role</td>
<td>To get proof</td>
<td>To understand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcripts</td>
<td>Rarely necessary</td>
<td>Usually full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td>On the spot</td>
<td>Time-consuming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus of time</td>
<td>Pre-planning</td>
<td>Post-fieldwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accusations against other style</td>
<td>‘Formless’</td>
<td>‘Over-controlling’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suited for</td>
<td>Testing or proving ideas</td>
<td>Meaning or understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output</td>
<td>To be confirmed in quantitative studies</td>
<td>Can be used in its own right to support decision-makers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

International marketers have always been aware that qualitative research as it developed in the US and Europe involves quite different practices, stemming from different premises and yielding different results. American-style qualitative research started from the same evaluative premise as quantitative research but on a smaller scale. This made it cheaper, quicker and useful for checking out the less critical decisions. European-style qualitative research started from the opposite premise to quantitative research: it was developmental, exploratory and creative rather than evaluative. It was used as a tool of understanding, to get underneath consumer motivation.

The American style uses a detailed discussion guide which follows a logical sequence and is usually strictly adhered to. The interviewing technique involves closed questions and straight answers. This type of research is used primarily to inform about behaviour and to confirm hypotheses already derived from other sources. For this reason, clients who have attended groups often feel they do not need any further analysis; the group interaction supplies the answers. Transcripts are rarely necessary and reports are often summarised or even done away with altogether.
The European style is used primarily to gain new insight; it also works from a discussion guide, but in a less structured way. The interviewing technique is opportunistic and probing. Projective techniques are introduced to help researchers understand underlying motivations and attitudes. Because the purpose is understanding, which requires a creative synthesis of (sometimes unconscious) consumer needs and brand benefits, analysis is time-consuming and usually involves full transcripts.

In the above descriptions of American and European traditions of applying qualitative techniques, it is clear to see the American perspective being positivist, i.e. aiming to deliver a ‘factual’ impression of consumers. The facts may be established, but they may not be enough – they may not provide the richness or depth of understanding that certain marketing decision-makers demand. So, although a positivist perspective has a role to play in developing explanations, predictions and understanding of consumers and marketing phenomena, it has its limitations and critics. The following quote from the eminent qualitative practitioner Peter Cooper cautions us of what we really mean by the term ‘qualitative’:

‘There is much qualitative research that still hangs on the positivist model or is little more than investigative journalism. Competition also comes from the media with increasing phone-ins and debates described as “research”. We need to be careful about the abuse of what goes under the title “qualitative”.’

The dominance of positivist philosophy in marketing research has been and is being challenged by other philosophical perspectives, taken and adapted from disciplines such as anthropology and sociology. These perspectives have helped marketing researchers to develop richer explanations and predictions and especially an understanding and a meaning as seen through the eyes of consumers.

**Interpretivist perspectives**

In general there are considered to be two main research paradigms that are used by marketing researchers. These are the positivist paradigm and the interpretivist paradigm (though these are by no means the only research paradigms that may be adopted by marketing researchers). Table 6.2 presents alternative names that may be used to describe these paradigms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Positivist</th>
<th>Interpretivist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative</td>
<td>Qualitative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectivist</td>
<td>Subjectivist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific</td>
<td>Humanistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experimentalist</td>
<td>Phenomenological</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traditionalist</td>
<td>Revolutionist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whilst it may be easier to think of these as quite clear, distinct and mutually exclusive perspectives of developing valid and useful marketing knowledge, the reality is somewhat different. There is a huge array of versions of these paradigms, presented by philosophers, researchers and users of research findings. These versions change depending upon the assumptions of researchers and the context and subjects of their study, i.e. the ultimate nature of the research problem. It has been argued that both positivist and interpretivist paradigms are valid in conducting marketing research and help to shape the nature of techniques that researchers apply.

In order to develop an understanding of what an interpretivist paradigm means, Table 6.3 presents characteristic features of the two paradigms.
Comparison of positivist and interpretivist perspectives

The paradigms can be compared through a series of issues. The descriptions of these issues do not imply that any particular paradigm is stronger than the other. In each issue there are relative advantages and disadvantages specific to any research question under investigation. The issues are dealt with in the following paragraphs.

**Reality.** The positivist supposes that reality is ‘out there’ to be captured. It thus becomes a matter of finding the most effective and objective means possible to draw together information about this reality. The interpretivist stresses the dynamic, respondent-constructed and evolving nature of reality, recognising that there may be a wide array of interpretations of realities or social acts.

**Researcher–respondent.** The positivist sees the respondent as an ‘object’ to be measured in a consistent manner. The interpretivist may see respondents as ‘peers’ or even ‘companions’, seeking the right context and means of observing and questioning to suit individual respondents. Such a view of respondents requires the development of rapport, an amount of interaction and evolution of method as the researcher learns of the best means to elicit information.

**Values.** The positivist seeks to set aside their own personal values. Their measurements of respondents are being guided by established theoretical propositions. The task for the positivist is to remove any potential bias. The interpretivist recognises that their own values affect how they question, probe and interpret. The task for the interpretivist is to realise the nature of their values and how these affect how they question and interpret.

**Researcher language.** In seeking a consistent and unbiased means to measure, the positivist uses a language in questioning that is uniformly recognised. This uniformity may emerge from existing theory (to allow comparability of findings) or from their vision of what may be relevant to their target group of respondents. Ultimately, the positivist imposes a language and logic upon target respondents in a consistent manner. The interpretivist seeks to draw out the language and logic of target respondents. The language they use may differ between respondents and develop in different ways as they learn more about a topic and the nature of respondents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Positivist</th>
<th>Interpretivist</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reality</td>
<td>Objective and singular</td>
<td>Subjective and multiple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher-respondent</td>
<td>Independent of each other</td>
<td>Interacting with each other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values</td>
<td>Value-free = unbiased</td>
<td>Value-laden = biased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher language</td>
<td>Formal and impersonal</td>
<td>Informal and personal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory and research design</td>
<td>Simple determinist</td>
<td>Freedom of will</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cause and effect</td>
<td>Multiple influences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Static research design</td>
<td>Evolving design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Context-free</td>
<td>Context-bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>Field/ethnography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prediction and control</td>
<td>Understanding and insight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reliability and validity</td>
<td>Perceptive decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Representative surveys</td>
<td>Theoretical sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Experimental design</td>
<td>Case studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deductive</td>
<td>Inductive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.3 Paradigm features

**Comparison of positivist and interpretivist perspectives**

The paradigms can be compared through a series of issues. The descriptions of these issues do not imply that any particular paradigm is stronger than the other. In each issue there are relative advantages and disadvantages specific to any research question under investigation. The issues are dealt with in the following paragraphs.

**Reality.** The positivist supposes that reality is ‘out there’ to be captured. It thus becomes a matter of finding the most effective and objective means possible to draw together information about this reality. The interpretivist stresses the dynamic, respondent-constructed and evolving nature of reality, recognising that there may be a wide array of interpretations of realities or social acts.

**Researcher–respondent.** The positivist sees the respondent as an ‘object’ to be measured in a consistent manner. The interpretivist may see respondents as ‘peers’ or even ‘companions’, seeking the right context and means of observing and questioning to suit individual respondents. Such a view of respondents requires the development of rapport, an amount of interaction and evolution of method as the researcher learns of the best means to elicit information.

**Values.** The positivist seeks to set aside their own personal values. Their measurements of respondents are being guided by established theoretical propositions. The task for the positivist is to remove any potential bias. The interpretivist recognises that their own values affect how they question, probe and interpret. The task for the interpretivist is to realise the nature of their values and how these affect how they question and interpret.

**Researcher language.** In seeking a consistent and unbiased means to measure, the positivist uses a language in questioning that is uniformly recognised. This uniformity may emerge from existing theory (to allow comparability of findings) or from their vision of what may be relevant to their target group of respondents. Ultimately, the positivist imposes a language and logic upon target respondents in a consistent manner. The interpretivist seeks to draw out the language and logic of target respondents. The language they use may differ between respondents and develop in different ways as they learn more about a topic and the nature of respondents.
Theory and research design. In the development of theory, the positivist seeks to establish causality (discussed in detail in Chapter 11) through experimental methods. Seeking causality helps the positivist to explain phenomena and hopefully predict the recurrence of what has been observed in other contexts. There are many extraneous variables that may confound the outcome of experiments, hence the positivist will seek to control these variables and the environment in which an experiment takes place. The ultimate control in an experiment takes place in a laboratory situation. In establishing causality through experiments, questions of causality usually go hand in hand with questions of determinism, i.e. if everything that happens has a cause, then we live in a determinist universe.

The positivist will go to great pains to diagnose the nature of a research problem and establish an explicit and set research design to investigate the problem. A fundamental element of the positivist’s research design is the desire to generalise findings to a target population. Most targeted populations are so large that measurements of them can only be managed through representative sample surveys. The positivist uses theory to develop the consistent and unbiased measurements they seek. They have established rules and tests of the reliability and validity of their measurements and continually seek to develop more reliable and valid measurements.

In the development of theory, the interpretivist seeks to understand the nature of multiple influences of marketing phenomena through case studies. The search for multiple influences means focusing upon the intrinsic details of individual cases and the differences between different classes of case. This helps the interpretivist to describe phenomena and hopefully gain new and creative insights to ultimately understand the nature of consumer behaviour in its fullest sense. The consumers that interpretivists focus upon live, consume and relate to products and services in a huge array of contexts, hence the interpretivist will seek to understand the nature and effect of these contexts on their chosen cases. The contexts in which consumers live and consume constitute the field in which the interpretivist immerses themselves to conduct their investigations. In understanding the nature and effect of context upon consumers, the interpretivist does not consider that everything that happens has a cause and that we live in a determinist universe. There is a recognition and respect for the notion of free will.

The interpretivist will go to great pains to learn from each step of the research process and adapt their research design as their learning develops. The interpretivist seeks to diagnose the nature of a research problem but recognises that a set research design may be restrictive and so usually adopts an evolving research design. A fundamental element of the interpretivist’s research design is the desire to generalise findings to different contexts, such as other types of consumer. However, rather than seeking to study large samples to generalise to target populations, the interpretivist uses theoretical sampling. This means that the data gathering process for interpretivists is driven by concepts derived from evolving theory, based on the notion of seeking out different situations and learning from the comparisons that can be made. The purpose is to go to places, people or events that will maximise opportunities to discover variations among concepts. The interpretivist uses theory initially to help guide which cases they should focus upon, the issues they should observe and the context of their investigation. As their research design evolves they seek to develop new theory and do not wish to be ‘blinkered’ or too focused on existing ideas. The interpretivist seeks multiple explanations of the phenomena they observe and creates what they see as the most valid relationship of concepts and, ultimately, theory. Interpretivists seek to evaluate the strength of the theory they develop. The strongest means of evaluating the strength of interpretivist theory lies in the results of decision-making that is based on the theory. Interpretivists continually seek to evaluate the
worth of the theories they develop. A principal output of research generated by an interpretivist perspective should therefore be findings that are accessible and intended for use. If they are found meaningful by decision-makers and employed successfully by them, this may constitute further evidence of the theory’s validity. If employed and found lacking, questions will have to be asked of the theory, about its comprehensibility and comprehensiveness and about its interpretation. If it is not used, the theory may be loaded with validity but have little value.

**Summarising the broad perspectives of positivism and interpretivism**

The positivist seeks to establish the legitimacy of their approach through **deduction**. In a deductive approach, the following process unfolds:

- An area of enquiry is identified, set in the context of well-developed theory, which is seen as vital to guide the researcher, ensuring that they are not naive in their approach and do not ‘reinvent the wheel’.
- The issues to focus an enquiry upon emerge from the established theoretical framework.
- Specific variables are identified that the researcher deems should be measured, i.e. hypotheses are set.
- An ‘instrument’ to measure specific variables is developed.
- Respondents give answers to set and specific questions with a consistent language and logic.
- The responses to the set questions are analysed in terms of a prior established theoretical framework.
- The researcher tests theory according to whether their hypotheses are accepted or rejected. From testing theory in a new context, they seek to incrementally develop existing theory.

Such a process means that positivists reach conclusions based upon agreed and measurable ‘facts’. The building and establishment of ‘facts’ forms the premises of deductive arguments. Deductive reasoning starts from general principles from which the deduction is to be made, and proceeds to a conclusion by way of some statement linking the particular case in question.

A deductive approach has a well-established role for existing theory; it informs the development of hypotheses, the choice of variables and the resultant measures. Whereas the deductive approach starts with theory expressed in the form of hypotheses, which are then tested, an inductive approach avoids this, arguing that it may prematurely close off possible areas of enquiry.

The interpretivist seeks to establish the legitimacy of their approach through **induction**. In an inductive approach, the following process unfolds:

- An area of enquiry is identified, but with little or no theoretical framework. Theoretical frameworks are seen as restrictive, narrowing the researcher’s perspective, and an inhibitor to creativity.
- The issues to focus an enquiry upon are either observed or elicited from respondents in particular contexts.
- Respondents are aided to explain the nature of issues in a particular context.
- Broad themes are identified for discussion, with observation, probing and in-depth questioning to elaborate the nature of these themes.
- The researcher develops their theory by searching for the occurrence and interconnection of phenomena. They seek to develop a model based upon their observed combination of events.

---

**Deduction**

A form of reasoning in which a conclusion is validly inferred from some premises, and must be true if those premises are true.

**Induction**

A form of reasoning that usually involves the inference that an instance or repeated combination of events may be universally generalised.
Such a process means that interpretivists reach conclusions without ‘complete evidence’. With the intense scrutiny of individuals in specific contexts that typify an interpretivist approach, tackling large ‘representative’ samples is generally impossible. Thus, the validity of the interpretivist approach is based upon ‘fair samples’. The interpretivist should not seek only to reinforce their own prejudice or bias, seizing upon issues that are agreeable to them and ignoring those that are inconvenient. If they are to argue reasonably they should counteract this tendency by searching for conflicting evidence. Their resultant theory should be subject to constant review and revision.

### Ethnographic research

It is clear that an interpretive approach does not set out to test hypotheses but to explore the nature and interrelationships of marketing phenomena. The focus of investigation is a detailed examination of a small number of cases rather than a large sample. The data collected are analysed through an explicit interpretation of the meanings and functions of consumer actions. The product of these analyses takes the form of verbal descriptions and explanations, with quantification and statistical analysis playing a subordinate role. These characteristics are the hallmark of a research approach that has developed and been applied to marketing problems over many years in European marketing research. This research approach is one of ethnographic research.

**Ethnography** as a general term includes observation and interviewing and is sometimes referred to as participant observation. It is, however, used in the more specific case of a method which requires a researcher to spend a large amount of time observing a particular group of people, by sharing their way of life. The origins of ethnography are in the work of nineteenth-century anthropologists who travelled to observe different pre-industrial cultures. An example in a more contemporary context could be the study of death rituals in Borneo, conducted over two years by the anthropologist Peter Metcalf. Today, ‘ethnography’ encompasses a much broader range of work, from studies of groups in one’s own culture, to experimental writing, to political interventions. Moreover, ethnographers today do not always ‘observe’, at least not directly. They may work with cultural artefacts such as written texts, or study recordings of interactions they did not observe at first hand.

In management research, issues related to corporate culture and the management of change are increasingly studied using ethnographic research through observing, interviewing and gathering written material within the organisations of their study. Before we develop an understanding of the approach in marketing research, it is worth summarising the aims of ethnographic research.

- **Seeing through the eyes of**. Viewing events, actions, norms and values from the perspective of the people being studied.
- **Description**. Attending to mundane detail to help understand what is going on in a particular context and to provide clues and pointers to other layers of reality.
- **Contextualism**. The basic message that ethnographers convey is that whatever the sphere in which the data are being collected, we can understand events only when they are situated in the wider social and historical context.
- **Process**. Viewing social life as involving interlocking series of events.
- **Flexible research designs**. Ethnographers’ adherence to viewing social phenomena through the eyes of their subjects has led to a wariness regarding the imposition of prior and possibly inappropriate frames of reference on the people they study. This leads to a preference for an open and unstructured research design which increases the possibility of coming across unexpected issues.
Avoiding early use of theories and concepts. Rejecting premature attempts to impose theories and concepts which may exhibit a poor fit with participants’ perspectives. This will be developed further in this chapter when we examine grounded theory.

The use of ethnographic approaches is rapidly developing in marketing research. Decision-makers are finding great support from the process and findings, as the following example illustrates.

Mind games

Since the 1950s, different research approaches have tended to reflect the different beliefs of marketers. Procter & Gamble would have one set of beliefs and Unilever another, and their research approaches would mirror their respective beliefs. So market research tended to be driven by how clients saw the world in general. Because problems have always been identified in clients’ terms, research has always been undertaken on that basis, using only clients’ vocabulary. The result has been that companies all ask the same questions, get the same answers and end up manufacturing the same products. Now they are asking why this is. The result has been a move away from agenda-driven research and a desire to find out what is actually happening in the world.

One means to discover ‘what is happening in the world’ is through the use of ethnography. Ethnographic research investigates the way in which people behave in their own environment, and how they interact with the world around them. So it could involve a researcher observing a family at home or watching how women choose clothes in a retail outlet.

Ethnographic research is emphatically not about pursuing a client-driven agenda. Today’s market researchers need these anthropological skills to enable them to understand and translate the hidden and not-so-hidden messages, which their subjects reveal. Fewer questions, fewer clipboards, and more waiting and watching. The new breed of market researchers and their informants will be setting their own agendas in future.

Ethnography cannot reasonably be classified as just another single method or technique. In essence, it is a research discipline based upon culture as an organising concept and a mix of both observational and interviewing tactics to record behavioural dynamics. Above all, ethnography relies upon entering respondents’ natural life worlds – at home, while shopping, at leisure and in the workplace. The researcher essentially becomes a naive visitor in that world by engaging respondents during realistic product usage situations in the course of daily life.

Whether called on-site, observational, naturalistic or contextual research, ethnographic methods allow marketers to delve into actual situations in which products are used, services are received and benefits are conferred. Ethnography takes place not in laboratories but in the real world. Consequently, clients and practitioners benefit from a more holistic and better nuanced view of consumer satisfactions, frustrations and limitations than in any other research method.

A growing trend is for marketers to apply ethnographic methods in natural retail or other commercial environments. There are several objectives that lie behind these studies, one of which is orientated towards a detailed ecological analysis of sales behaviour. In other words, all of the elements that comprise retail store environments – lighting, smells, signage, display of goods, the location, size and orientation of shelving – have an impact upon the consumer experience and their ultimate buying behaviour. The ethnographer’s role is to decode the meaning and impact of these ecological elements. Often, these studies utilise time-lapse photography as a tool for behavioural observation and data collection over extensive periods of time and avoid actual interaction with consumers, as illustrated in the following example.
Top of the Pops

The point of purchase (POP) is a manufacturer’s last opportunity to have an effect on their customers’ decisions. Awareness of the crucial role of in-store influences is growing, and several POP companies have started offering detailed research on how customers react at the point of sale. To achieve this awareness, Electronic Surveillance of Behaviour (ESOB) gives a detailed understanding of how consumers behave in a shop. Kevin Price, Managing Director of Coutts Design, has formed a partnership with The In-Store Audit to utilise ESOB.

Says Kevin, ‘with ESOB, shoppers are tracked remotely on video around the store and their movements and actions are followed. Because this technique is fairly unobtrusive, we are able to capture natural shopper behaviour as people are not being followed around by a researcher.’ He goes on to explain the complexity of the computer software. ‘The cameras are specially modified and they record a large sample size. They can measure consumer behaviour from entry to exit, following customers around the store and noting the items they touch and the visual cues that they give and get. The cameras may operate for between 10 and 14 days. The information is then analysed and the key clips from the video are used to reinforce the key points that have emerged from the analysis.’

One of the key elements of the above example is the context in which the consumer is behaving. The researcher observes shoppers, taking in and reacting to their retail experience, behaving naturally in the set context. The context of shoppers does not just mean the retail outlet they visit. The processes of choosing and buying products, of using products or giving them as gifts, of reflecting upon and planning subsequent purchases are all affected by contextual factors. Context operates on several levels, including the immediate physical and situational surroundings of consumers, as well as language, character, culture and history. Each of these levels can provide a basis for the meaning and significance attached to the roles and behaviour of consumption.

‘Can we divorce the ways we buy, use and talk about products from the cultural and linguistic context within which economic transitions occur? The answer is an emphatic no.’

The ethnographer may observe the consumer acting and reacting in the context of consumption. They may see a shopper spending time reading the labels on cat food, showing different brands to their partner, engaged in deep conversation, pondering, getting frustrated and putting tins back on the shelf. They may see the same shopper more purposefully putting an expensive bottle of cognac into their shopping trolley without any discussion and seemingly with no emotional attachment to the product. The ethnographer may want to know what is going on. How may the consumer explain their attitudes and motivations behind this behaviour? This is where the interplay of observation and interviewing helps to build such a rich picture of consumers. In questioning the shopper in the above example, responses of ‘we think that Remy Martin is the best’ or ‘we always argue about which are the prettiest cat food labels’ would not be enough. The stories and contexts of how these assertions came to be would be explored. The ethnographer does not tend to take simple explanations for activities that in many circumstances may be habitual to consumers. Ethnographic practice takes a highly critical attitude towards expressed language. It challenges our accepted words and utterances at face value, searching instead for the meanings and values that lie beneath the surface. In interviewing situations, typically this involves looking for gaps between expressed and non-verbal communication elements. For example, if actual practices and facial and physical gestures are inconsistent with a subject’s expressed attitudes towards the expensive cognac, we are challenged to discover both the reality behind the given answer and the reasons for the ‘deception’.

Ethnographic research is also effective as a tool for learning situationally and culturally grounded language, the appropriate words for everyday things as spoken by
various age or ethnic groups. Copywriters and strategic thinkers are always pressed to
talk about products and brands in evocative and original ways. Ethnography helps act
as both a discovery and an evaluation tool.32

The ethnographer is expected to critically analyse the situations they observe. The
critique or analysis can be guided by theory but in essence the researcher develops a
curiosity, thinks in an abstract manner and at times steps back to reflect and see how
emerging ideas connect. By reacting to the events and respondents as they face them,
to draw out what they see as important, the ethnographer has the ability to create new
explanations and understandings of consumers. This ability to develop a new vision,
to a large extent unrestricted by existing theory, is the essence of a grounded theory
approach, which is explained and illustrated in the next section.

The tradition of grounded theory was developed by Glaser and Strauss in the late
1950s and published in their seminal work in 1967.33 At that time, qualitative research
was viewed more as impressionistic or anecdotal, little more than 'soft science' or
journalism.34 It was generally believed that the objective of sociology should be to
produce scientific theory, and to test this meant using quantitative methods.35
Qualitative research was seen to have a place, but only to the extent to which it devel-
oped questions which could then be verified using quantitative techniques. Glaser and
Strauss accepted that the study of people should be scientific, in the way understood
by quantitative researchers. This meant that it should seek to produce theoretical
propositions that were testable and verifiable, produced by a clear set of replicable
procedures. Glaser and Strauss defined theory as:

... theory in sociology is a strategy for handling data in research, providing modes of
conceptualisation for describing and explaining. The theory should provide clear
enough categories and hypotheses so that crucial ones can be verified in present and
future research; they must be clear enough to be readily operationalised in quantitative
studies when these are appropriate.36

The focus upon developing theory was made explicit in response to criticisms of
ethnographic studies that present lengthy extracts from interviews or field observa-
tions. Strauss sought to reinforce his view of the importance of theory, illustrated by
the following quote:

... much that passes for analysis is relatively low level description. Many quite
esteemed and excellent monographs use a great deal of data, quotes or field note selec-
tions. The procedure is very useful when the behaviour being studied is relatively
foreign to the experiences of most readers or when the factual assertions being made
would be under considerable contest by sceptical and otherwise relatively well-
informed readers. Most of these monographs are descriptively dense, but alas
theoretically thin. If you look at their indexes, there are almost no new concepts listed,
one that have emerged in the course of research.37

In contrast to the perhaps casual manner in which some ethnographers may be
criticised for attempts at developing theory, the grounded theorist follows a set of sys-
tematic procedures for collecting and analysing data. A distinctive feature of the
approach is that the collection of data and the analysis that takes place occur simulta-
neously, with the aim of developing general concepts, to organise data and to
integrate these into a more general, formal set of categories. The research process
involves progressing through the following stages.38
Microscopic examination of data. This is usually completed in a group situation where different individuals focus on sections of interviews and are asked to interpret what they are seeing. These are written up on a flipchart to examine the range of perspectives but also connections among the issues, problems or themes. At this stage these issues are implicit and not systematically worked out.

Coding. This procedure aims to organise data into a set of themes or codes (qualitative coding will be covered in more detail in Chapter 9). This is done firstly by 'open coding'. Researchers are then encouraged to think about different dimensions of the open-coded categories, termed 'dimensionalising', and to find links between categories by 'axial coding'. Different events and situations are observed, to build up a complete picture of the variations within any theoretical category through 'theoretical sampling', i.e. more data would be gathered in a direction driven by the concepts derived from evolving theory and based on the concept of 'making comparisons' – looking for further instances of the derived theory that present a contradictory view, to a point where there are no contradictory views. Eventually, categories are refined so that a theoretical framework emerges, termed 'selective coding'. Coding procedures help in the following manner to:

- build rather than test theory;
- provide researchers with analytic tools for handling masses of raw data;
- help analysts to consider alternative meanings of phenomena;
- be systematic and creative simultaneously;
- identify, develop, and relate the concepts that are the building blocks of theory. 39

Using qualitative data analysis software. The process of coding described above would typically be conducted using proprietary software. The software essentially helps the researcher to code data in a consistent manner, to search for themes and codes and examine the context in which they emerge in a transcript of an interview or number of interviews. The connection of codes and themes helps to establish the nature of grounded theory. The software allows for the manipulation of codes and themes so that they may be viewed from many different perspectives. From this, the researcher develops further issues and individuals to investigate, and the interpretation and theory emerge. The software helps to manage and manipulate the data, and the researcher uses this as support to develop the theory. (Qualitative data analysis packages are covered in more detail in Chapter 9.)

Presenting diagrams. Grounded researchers use diagrams to represent the relationship of theoretical categories. This helps enormously to focus the individual categories and their interrelationships. Many software packages help to build such diagrams, helping the researcher to visualise their data as they are building up their theory. The use of diagrams is therefore vital in developing theory as well as in the presentation of theory when analysis is complete.

Attempting to gain an objective viewpoint

For the grounded theorist, data collection and analysis occur in alternating sequences. Analysis begins with the first interview and observation, which leads to the next interview or observation, followed by more analysis, more interviews or fieldwork, and so on. It is the analysis that drives the data collection. Therefore there is a constant interplay between the researcher and the research act. Because this interplay requires immersion in the data, by the end of the enquiry the researcher is shaped by the data, just as the data are shaped by the researcher. The problem that arises during this
mutual shaping process is how one can become immersed in the data and still maintain a balance between objectivity and sensitivity. Objectivity is necessary to arrive at an impartial and accurate interpretation of events. Sensitivity is required to perceive the subtle nuances and meanings of data and to recognise the connections between concepts. Both objectivity and sensitivity are necessary for making discoveries. Objectivity enables the researcher to have confidence that his or her findings are a reasonable, impartial representation of a problem under investigation, whereas sensitivity enables creativity and the discovery of new theory from data.

During the analytic process, grounded researchers attempt to set aside their knowledge and experience to form new interpretations about phenomena. Yet, in their everyday lives, they rely on knowledge and experience to provide the means for helping them to understand the world in which they live and to find solutions to problems encountered. Most researchers have learned that a state of complete objectivity is impossible and that in every piece of research, quantitative or qualitative, there is an element of subjectivity. What is important is to recognise that subjectivity is an issue and that researchers should take appropriate measures to minimise its intrusion into their investigations and analyses.

In qualitative research, objectivity does not mean controlling the variables. Rather it means an openness, a willingness to listen and to ‘give voice’ to respondents, be they individuals or organisations. It means hearing what others have to say, seeing what others do and representing these as accurately as possible. It means developing an understanding of those they are researching, whilst recognising that researchers’ understandings are often based on the values, culture, training and experiences that they bring from all aspects of their life; these can be quite different from those of their respondents. As well as being open to respondents, the qualitative researcher reflects upon what makes them, as observers, ‘see’ and ‘listen’ in particular ways. This usually means that, while working on a particular project, the researcher keeps a diary or journal. This diary is used to make notes about the conditions of interviews and observations, of what worked well and what did not, of what questions they would have liked to ask but did not think of at the time. As the researcher reads through their diary in the analysis process, the entries become part of the narrative they explore, they reveal to themselves and to others the way they have developed their ‘seeing’ and ‘listening’. Research diaries will be covered in more detail in examining qualitative data analysis in Chapter 9.

**Developing a sensitivity to the meanings in data**

Having sensitivity means having insight into, and being able to give meaning to, the events and happenings in data. It means being able to see beneath the obvious to discover the new. This quality of the researcher occurs as he or she works with data, making comparisons, asking questions, and going out and collecting more data. Through these alternating processes of data collection and analysis, meanings that are often elusive at first later become clearer. Immersion in the data leads to those sudden insights. Insights do not just occur haphazardly; rather, they happen to prepared minds during interplay with the data. Whether we want to admit it or not, we cannot completely divorce ourselves from who we are and what we know. The theories that we carry around in our heads inform our research in multiple ways, even if we use them quite un-self-consciously.

Ultimately, a grounded theory approach is expected to generate findings that are meaningful to decision-makers, and appropriate to the tasks they face. As with other interpretivist forms of research, if it is found meaningful by decision-makers and employed successfully by them, there is further evidence of the theory’s validity. Another qualitative approach that is absolutely meaningful to decision-makers in that its primary focus is to deliver actionable results is called action research.
Action research

Background

The social psychologist Kurt Lewin had a main interest in social change and specifically in questions of how to conceptualise and promote social change. Lewin is generally thought to be the person who coined the term action research and gave it meanings that are applicable today. In action research, Lewin envisaged a process whereby one could construct a social experiment with the aim of achieving a certain goal. For example, in the early days of the Second World War, Lewin conducted a study, commissioned by US authorities, on the use of tripe as part of the regular daily diet of American families. The research question was: ‘To what extent could American housewives be encouraged to use tripe rather than beef for family dinners?’ Beef was scarce and was destined primarily for the troops.

Lewin’s approach to this research was to conduct a study in which he trained a limited number of housewives in the art of cooking tripe for dinner. He then surveyed how this training had an effect on their daily cooking habits in their own families. In this case, action research was synonymous with a ‘natural experiment’, meaning that the researchers in a real-life context invited participants into an experimental activity. This research approach was very much within the bounds of conventional applied social science with its patterns of authoritarian control, but it was aimed at producing a specific, desired social outcome.

The above example can be clearly seen from a marketing perspective. It is easy to see a sample survey measuring attitudes to beef, to tripe, to feeding the family and to feelings of patriotism. From a survey, one can imagine advertisements extolling the virtues of tripe, how tasty and versatile it is. But would the campaign work? Lewin’s approach was not just to understand the housewives’ attitudes but to engage them in the investigation and the solution – to change attitudes and behaviour.

Lewin is credited with coining a couple of important slogans within action research that hold resonance with the many action researchers that practise today. The first is ‘nothing is as practical as a good theory’ and the second is ‘the best way to try to understand something is to change it’. In action research it is believed that the way to ‘prove’ a theory is to show how it provides in-depth and thorough understanding of social structures, understanding gained through planned attempts to invoke change in particular directions. The appropriate changes are in the proof.

Lewin’s work was a fundamental building block to what today is called action research. He set the stage for knowledge production based on solving real-life problems. From the outset, he created a new role for researchers and redefined criteria for judging the quality of the enquiry process. Lewin shifted the researcher’s role from being a distant observer to involvement in concrete problem-solving. The quality criteria he developed for judging a theory to be good, focused on its ability to support practical problem-solving in real-life situations.

From Lewin’s work has developed a rich and thriving group of researchers who have developed and applied his ideas throughout the world. In management research, the study of organisational change with the understanding and empowerment of different managers and workers has utilised action research to great effect. There has been little application of action research in marketing research, though that is changing. Marketing researchers and marketing decision-makers alike are learning of the nature of action research, the means of implementing it and the benefits it can bestow.
Approach

Action research is a team research process, facilitated by one or more professional researchers, linking with decision-makers and other stakeholders who together wish to improve particular situations. Together, the researcher and decision-makers or stakeholders define the problems to be examined, generate relevant knowledge about the problems, learn and execute research techniques, take actions, and interpret the results of actions based on what they have learned. There are many iterations of problem definition, generating knowledge, taking action and learning from those actions. The whole process of iteration evolves in a direction that is agreed by the team.

Action researchers accept no a priori limits on the kinds of research techniques they use. Surveys, statistical analyses, interviews, focus groups, ethnographies and life histories are all acceptable, if the reason for deploying them has been agreed by the action research collaborators and if they are used in a way that does not oppress the participants.

Action research is composed of a balance of three elements. If any one of the three is absent, then the process is not action research.

- **Research.** Research based on any quantitative or qualitative techniques, or combination of them, generates data and, in its analyses and interpretation, shared knowledge.
- **Participation.** Action research involves trained researchers who serve as facilitators and ‘teachers’ to team members. As these individuals set their action research agenda, they generate the knowledge necessary to transform the situation and put the results to work. Action research is a participatory process in which everyone involved takes some responsibility.
- **Action.** Action research aims to alter the initial situation of the organisation in the direction of a more self-managed and more rewarding state for all parties.

An example of an action research team in marketing terms could include:

- **Marketing researchers:** trained in a variety of qualitative and quantitative research techniques, and with experience of diagnosing marketing and research problems.
- **Strategic marketing managers:** decision-makers who work at a strategic level in the organisation and have worked with researchers, as well as those who have no experience of negotiating with researchers.
- **Operational marketing managers:** decision-makers who have to implement marketing activities. These may be the individuals who meet customers on a day-to-day basis and who really feel the impact and success of marketing ideas.
- **Advertising agency representatives:** agents who have worked with strategic decision-makers. They may have been involved in the development of communications campaigns to generate responses from target groups of consumers.
- **Customers:** existing customers who may be loyal and have had many years of experience of the company (initiating and funding the action research) and its products and perhaps even its personnel.
- **Target customers:** potential customers who may be brand switchers or even loyal customers to competitive companies.

Figure 6.2 illustrates how action research may be applied. This model of action research is taken from the subject area of the management of change, which is relevant to many of the problems faced by marketing decision-makers. The process aims to create a learning community in a team such as that above. The team develops an understanding of issues to the extent that they make sound judgements and take effective action to implement the changes they wish to make.
The process in Figure 6.2 can be described as follows.

- **Diagnosis.** The present state of affairs would be set out, including the perceived barriers to change and an initial broad statement of desired direction for the organisation. Diagnosis would include documenting the change process and all data gathering activities such as secondary data gathering, surveys, interviews or observations.

- **Analysis.** An initial interpretation of data gathered would be made. From this the issues to be tackled would be identified. Summary findings and the development of a framework, with set tasks for team members in subsequent data gathering, would be drawn up.

- **Feedback.** Data analyses would be fed back for examination and discussion in the team. ‘Ownership’ of the diagnosis would be developed to formulate a commitment to action.

- **Action.** Individual courses of action and the development of broader strategies would be formulated.

- **Evaluation.** There would be an ongoing review of methods and outcomes. The effectiveness of any action would be evaluated against agreed criteria and critical success factors.

All of these stages are interrelated, so there is no definitive path that the team would take. In subsequent iterations of activities, the team could move around the stages in any order that suits their needs.

In marketing research, problems such as ‘changing the organisation to have a greater focus on customer satisfaction’ or ‘examining the means to motivate and reward sales personnel’ could be tackled using action research. To see an illustration of action research in marketing, go to the Companion Website and read Professional Perspective 15 ‘Researching marketing competencies with Action Research’ by Stan Maklan and Georgia Field. Stan and Georgia present their own perspectives of why an action research approach was appropriate and beneficial to a novel Internet business.
Ethics in marketing research

The researcher and the client must respect respondents when conducting qualitative research. This should include protecting the anonymity of respondents, not misleading or deceiving them, conducting research in a way not to embarrass or harm the respondents, and using the research results in an ethical manner.49

The above advice from the Market Research Society contrasts sharply with a controversial approach to retail research that sometimes goes by the name of ‘guerrilla ethnography’ or ‘street research’. This involves observing and talking with consumers in their natural habitats. The researcher in this case commonly does not identify their role as a researcher, nor do they formally state the objectives behind their interaction with consumers. Instead, through the normal course of chatting with fellow customers or sales personnel, an attempt is made to glean information about customer preferences, sales cues and customer language. The benefit here is that the social distance and formal barriers between researcher and subject are broken down and interaction is more ‘natural’ and less subject to contrivance. The main objection expressed by critics is the potential invasion of privacy and somewhat manipulative structure of interaction, and the confusion that may be caused by not being absolutely open to those being observed or questioned.50

In Professional Perspective 13 on the Companion Website, the Chairman of Heineken exhorts the virtues of observing consumers. He positively encourages his managers to visit bars to see consumers enjoying their products in their natural context. This he sees as part of a natural curiosity that decision-makers should have of their customers. Decision-makers naturally meet many of their customers on a day-to-day basis, especially sales personnel, and much is to be learned from these encounters. As long as these encounters respect the anonymity of respondents, do not mislead or deceive them, and in no way embarrass or harm them, these encounters are a healthy part of conducting business.

It is up to the researcher to be aware of the harm that respondents may suffer and the damage such harm will inflict on all parties involved in an investigation. A reminder of why researchers must be aware of the potential for harm is presented in the following example.

The need to pay greater attention to issues of the balance of power, to ensure public cooperation51

There are two primary reasons why researchers must be aware of the potential harm to respondents and the need to foster a continual willingness to cooperate in research:

1 Qualitative research is doubly dependent on public cooperation. Not only do we rely on respondents’ cooperation in taking part, but the non-directive and open-ended nature of qualitative questioning techniques means that we also rely on their being positively engaged by the process, willing and eager to apply their minds, and happy to reveal their thoughts.

2 The nature of qualitative interviews and groups means that moderators will always try to encourage respondents to reveal more. This brings with it a responsibility to ensure that safeguards are in place, to deter coercion and avoid the potential danger of abuse of power and control over respondents in qualitative research.
### Internet and computer applications

The Internet presents huge opportunities for the qualitative researcher. Computer-mediated communication (CMC) can be used to run online versions of semi-structured or in-depth interviews, ‘observation’ of virtual communities, the collection of personal documents, and focus groups. Applying these techniques using the Internet rather than face to face presents a whole array of advantages and challenges. These may be summarised as follows.

#### Advantages
- **Extending access to participants.** Provided that potential participants have access to the technology, researchers can cross time and space barriers. They can reach a much wider geographical span of respondents, and also populations that are normally hard to reach, such as people with disabilities.
- **Sensitive subjects.** For some respondents the sensitivity of the subject being studied may mean that they would not discuss it in a face-to-face manner. The anonymity and distance can help to draw out views from such respondents.
- **Interest groups.** A variety of online formats, such as chat rooms, mailing lists and conferences, focus on specific topics, drawing together geographically dispersed participants who may share interests, experiences or expertise.
- **Cost and time savings.** Issues such as the time and travelling expenses of researchers, the hire of venues and the costs of producing transcripts can make face-to-face interviewing an expensive option for many researchers, especially if they are using qualitative approaches for the first time. The Internet dramatically reduces or eliminates many of these costs and thus makes qualitative approaches more accessible to a wider array of companies and decision-makers.
- **Handling transcripts.** As interviews or observations are built up through dialogue on the Internet, many of the potential biases or mistakes that occur through audio recordings can be eliminated.

#### Challenges
- **Computer literacy for the researcher.** Applying qualitative research on the Internet means that some degree of technical expertise is required of the researcher. The extent of expertise depends upon which techniques are being used. For example, moderators of focus groups online will have to learn about the capabilities of the chosen software for running a focus group. They will also have to learn about the specific skills in making the group experience work well online, perhaps by participating in online research conferences or gaining exposure to alternative discussion practices online.
- **Making contact and recruitment.** Establishing contact online requires a mutual exchange of email addresses. There is an array of techniques that can encourage potential respondents to reveal their address (which will be developed in Chapters 7 and 8) but in essence the researcher has to develop rapport and trust to draw the most out of respondents.
- **Interactive skills online.** Even if the researcher develops their skills online, it must be remembered that respondents use their computing equipment with varying degrees of expertise.
- **Losing access.** A key challenge for online studies is to sustain electronic connection with respondents for the whole period of the qualitative research process. This is a reminder that, unlike a survey which may be a short, one-off contact with a respondent, qualitative techniques may unfold and evolve over time and involve returning to respondents as issues develop and theory emerges.

The issues above lay out the broad advantages and challenges of the Internet for the qualitative researcher. As we develop more detailed descriptions and evaluations of qualitative techniques in Chapters 7 to 9, we will examine these points in more detail.
Qualitative and quantitative research should be viewed as complementary. Unfortunately, many researchers and decision-makers do not see this, taking dogmatic positions in favour of either qualitative or quantitative research. The defence of qualitative approaches for a particular marketing research problem, through the positive benefits it bestows and through explaining the negative alternatives of a quantitative approach, should be seen as healthy, as should the defence of quantitative approaches. Business and marketing decision-makers use both approaches and will continue to need both.

Qualitative and quantitative approaches to marketing research are underpinned by two broad philosophical schools, namely positivism and interpretivism. The central belief of a positivist position is a view that the study of consumers and marketing phenomena should be ‘scientific’ in the manner of the natural sciences. Marketing researchers of this persuasion adopt a framework for investigation akin to that of the natural scientist. The interpretivist researcher does not set out to test hypotheses but to explore the nature and interrelationships of marketing phenomena. The focus of investigation is a detailed examination of a small number of cases rather than a large sample. The data collected are analysed through an explicit interpretation of the meanings and functions of consumer actions. The product of these analyses takes the form of verbal descriptions and explanations, with quantification and statistical analysis playing a subordinate role.

In examining qualitative approaches, ethnography as a general term includes observation and interviewing and is sometimes referred to as participant observation. It is, however, used in the more specific case of a method which requires a researcher to spend a large amount of time observing a particular group of people, by sharing their way of life. The ethnographer is expected to critically analyse the situations they observe. The critique and the analysis can be guided by theory but in essence the researcher develops a curiosity, thinks in an abstract manner and at times steps back to reflect and see how emerging ideas connect. By reacting to the events and respondents as they face them, to draw out what they see as important, the ethnographer has the ability to create new explanations and understandings of consumers.

Some ethnographers may be criticised in their attempts at developing theory. In response, the grounded theorist follows a set of systematic procedures for collecting and analysing data. A distinctive feature of a grounded theory approach is that the collection of data and its analysis take place simultaneously, with the aim of developing general concepts, to organise data and integrate these into a more general, formal set of categories.

Ethnographic techniques and a grounded theory approach can be applied in an action research framework. Action research is a team research process, facilitated by one or more professional researchers, linking with decision-makers and other stakeholders who together wish to improve particular situations. Together, the researcher and decision-makers or stakeholders define the problems to be examined, generate relevant knowledge about the problems, learn and execute research techniques, take actions, and interpret the results of actions based on what they have learned. There are many iterations of problem definition, generating knowledge, taking action and learning from those actions. The whole process of iteration evolves in a direction that is agreed by the team.

The Internet presents huge opportunities for the qualitative researcher. Computer-mediated communication (CMC) can be used to run online versions of semi-structured or in-depth interviews, ‘observation’ of virtual communities, the collection of personal
documents, and focus groups. Being able to conduct such techniques online offers great opportunities to the qualitative marketing researcher and also many challenges.

When conducting qualitative research, the researcher and the client must respect respondents. This should include protecting the anonymity of respondents, honouring all statements and promises used to ensure participation, and conducting research in a way not to embarrass or harm the respondents.

Questions

1. What criticisms do qualitative marketing researchers make of the approaches adopted by quantitative marketing researchers, and vice-versa?
2. Why is it not always possible or desirable to use quantitative marketing research techniques?
3. Evaluate the differences between a European and an American approach to qualitative research.
4. Describe the characteristics of positivist and interpretivist marketing researchers.
5. In what ways may the positivist and interpretivist view potential research respondents?
6. What role does theory play in the approaches adopted by positivist and interpretivist marketing researchers?
7. What does ethnographic research aim to achieve in the study of consumers?
8. Why may marketing decision-makers wish to understand the context of consumption?
9. Describe and illustrate two research techniques that may be utilised in ethnographic research.
10. What stages are involved in the application of a grounded theory approach?
11. Is it possible for marketing researchers to be objective?
12. Why may the Kurt Lewin case of action research be deemed an application of marketing research?
13. Describe the key elements to be balanced in the application of action research.
14. Describe the five interrelated phases of an action research approach.
15. What do you see as the key advantages and challenges of conducting qualitative research using the Internet?
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