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Motivating Employees Through Compensation

A MANAGER’S PERSPECTIVE

Suzanne looks over the numbers one last time to make sure that her calculations are correct. Tomorrow she will meet with a human resource specialist to talk about her compensation recommendations. Making decisions about pay is one of the things she has found most difficult since becoming a partner in the accounting firm. This year she is planning to recommend that high performers get a much larger raise than low performers. She hopes this will help motivate all employees to stretch and improve their performance. Yet, she also knows that her firm has fallen somewhat behind competitors when it comes to compensation. Will giving higher raises to top performers mean that average performers will be more likely to quit and move to another firm? Does it really matter as long as the top performers stay? Will bigger differences in pay decrease cooperation among employees?

As she contemplates these questions, Suzanne begins to think about her own pay. Since making partner she knows that her compensation is higher than most employees of the firm. However, she feels some frustration knowing that there are other partners who will make three times more than she will this year. This seems unfair and Suzanne wonders if it would do any good to complain. Perhaps she should check to see if other women in the firm make less than their male counterparts. If they do, then she thinks it would be appropriate to raise the issue.

Her assistant Joe interrupts her and asks about preparing a report. Seeing Joe reminds her that he is deciding whether to take a new job at a local bank. Although the work would be similar, Joe has been offered a 20 percent raise to change jobs. Suzanne doesn’t want to lose him, but she also wonders if it would be right to match his offer. Matching the offer would mean that Joe would be
WHAT DO YOU THINK?

Suppose you are talking to Suzanne about the changes in pay practice. She makes the following statements. Which of the statements do you think are true?

- If people don’t think they are being paid fairly, they often steal things from their employer.  **T or F**
- Increasing employee pay doesn’t increase motivation unless workers feel they have the skill and ability needed to increase their performance.  **T or F**
- People who are paid a lot don’t worry much about what others are being paid.  **T or F**
- Organizations are more profitable when they pay their employees no more than what competitors are paying.  **T or F**
- Paying some employees substantially more than their peers can decrease teamwork and cooperation.  **T or F**

THE BIG PICTURE

Effective Organizations Design Compensation so that People Are Motivated to Work Hard and Help the Organization Succeed

getting paid more than some administrative assistants with 10 more years of experience. Wouldn’t this be a problem? Given the current recession finances are a bit tight and she knows that the firm could not afford to simply increase the pay of all staff members by 20 percent.

As Joe leaves, Suzanne sits at her desk and looks once more at her compensation recommendations. She realizes how unsettling she finds the task of determining compensation. Is she making good decisions? Should she go ahead with the proposal to restructure pay so that a higher percentage is given to top performers? Should she match Joe’s offer from the bank? She looks forward to meeting with the human resource specialist and hopes that she will gain some new insight. Would a change in pay practices really change her life?
**LEARNING OBJECTIVES**

*After reading this chapter you should be able to:*

**LEARNING OBJECTIVE 1**
Describe how employee compensation practices strategically align with overall HR strategy.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVE 2**
Use the concepts of reinforcement theory, goal-setting theory, equity theory, expectancy theory, and agency theory to explain how people react to compensation practices.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVE 3**
Describe how pay surveys are conducted and used to create compensation-level strategies.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVE 4**
Explain job-based pay and skill-based pay approaches to compensation structure.

**LEARNING OBJECTIVE 5**
Describe the major protections provided by the Fair Labor Standards Act, as well as state and local regulations.

---

**How Can Strategic Employee Compensation Make an Organization Effective?**

**Employee compensation** is the process of paying and rewarding people for the contributions they make to an organization. A major part of compensation, of course, is the amount of money employees take home in their paychecks, but there are other important aspects as well. Compensation includes benefits such as insurance, retirement savings, and paid time off from work. Employees' positive feelings that come from working at a particular place are also sometimes seen as a form of compensation. In a broad sense, compensation thus represents the total package of rewards—both monetary and psychological—that an employee obtains from an organization. However, in practice we usually think of compensation as the economic rewards and benefits that an organization gives to its employees.

Good compensation practices offer many advantages. Companies offering good pay and benefits attract better employees. Once hired, employees are more likely to stay with an organization if they feel they are paid well. A good incentive system communicates expectations and provides guidance so that employees understand what the organization wants from them. Paying people more when they contribute more increases motivation, which in turn leads to higher performance. Linking pay to performance is particularly helpful in encouraging people to produce a higher quantity of goods and services. In short, effective compensation practices motivate employees to do things that help increase an organization's productivity.

The benefits of effective compensation can be seen in the success of Marriott International, Inc. Beginning as a root beer stand in 1927, the company has grown into an international hospitality company that operates several hotel chains, including Courtyard, Fairfield Inn, Residence Inn, and Marriott Hotels & Resorts. A basic motto at Marriott is “If we take care of our associates, they'll take care of our guests.” Leaders in the company note that workers shopping for a job have choices similar to hotel guests shopping for a place to stay. Attracting and taking care of associates—or employees—is
accomplished through compensation practices that build a culture of loyalty and high performance.

A major problem faced by Marriott and others in the hospitality industry is finding people who are willing to work in entry-level jobs such as housekeeping and food service. Education requirements for these jobs are not high, and many of the positions require difficult physical labor. People working in such conditions often find it difficult to feel strong commitment toward a specific employer. Turnover in the hospitality industry is therefore high. This makes it difficult for hotels and restaurants to obtain a stable workforce that creates a competitive advantage.

Marriott develops competitive advantage by taking a systematic approach to compensation that reduces employee turnover. The company obtains and analyzes a great deal of data to determine why employees leave. Human resource professionals then explore changes that can improve the chances of keeping good employees. For instance, by examining when people quit, Marriott learned that people are much less likely to leave once they become eligible for benefits such as health insurance and retirement savings plans. When they recognized this pattern, leaders at Marriott changed their compensation practices so that employees do not have to wait as long before becoming eligible for benefits. Having people wait to become eligible for benefits, which was meant to reduce labor costs, actually cost the company money due to increased employee turnover. Analyzing employee preferences also revealed that workers were most likely to remain loyal when they were given the opportunity to work some overtime each week. Being required to work more than 10 hours overtime per week, however, caused some workers to leave. Marriott thus decided to give employees an opportunity to work a moderate amount of overtime, thereby increasing employee satisfaction and retention.6

A systematic review of Marriott practices also revealed that each of the hotel chains operated independently. Compensation practices for employees working at a Courtyard were often different from practices for employees working at a Residence Inn, even though the two hotels were located in the same geographic area. As a result, employees thought of themselves as working for a specific chain rather than for the Marriott Corporation. Employee movement between hotel chains was limited, which reduced opportunities for promotion.

In response to this problem, Marriott adopted a market-based pay approach, which seeks to create a wage structure where people are paid fairly in comparison to what they could earn doing a similar job for another company in the specific geographic area. Thus, the pay for someone working in housekeeping at Residence Inn should be similar to the pay for someone working at Fairfield Inn, though the pay level for housekeepers in New York may be quite different from the pay level for housekeepers in Kansas. One benefit of moving to market-based wages has been greater movement of employees between chains. Having more employees who have worked at a variety of different hotel chains within Marriott has increased instances in which employees encourage their current customers to stay at other Marriott hotels.7

Another important practice at Marriott has been to move responsibility for compensation away from the human resource department. Individual line managers now have the primary responsibility for determining each employee’s pay. Human resource department rules are deemphasized. The pay
range for each job is placed in a broad band that provides guidance for different levels of compensation. Managers have a good deal of flexibility to decide where in the band to locate the pay for each employee. This allows managers to take into account the pay for other work opportunities in the area, as well as the qualifications and performance of the particular employee.8

As a whole, Marriott’s compensation practices have developed a culture that rewards high performance. Not only does Marriott tend to pay more than its competitors, but it also differentiates more between high and low performers. Fewer people get paid at the top of the scale, but the overall pay is much higher for those who do. Truly exceptional performers thus make more than others and are more likely to continue working at Marriott. The company also recognizes outstanding performance with rewards such as trips and merchandise.9

Marriott’s systematic approach to compensation, which includes pushing decisions to line managers and emphasizing greater pay for higher performance, plays an important part in the company’s success. Simplifying procedures and having managers more involved increases the likelihood that employees believe they are paid fairly. These practices help Marriott continue to be ranked as one of the best places to work.10 Such a satisfying work experience helps reduce turnover, saving Marriott millions of dollars each year.

**Building Strength Through HR**

**MARRIOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.**

Marriott International is a hospitality provider that employs over 128,000 people. Human resource management at Marriott builds competitive strength by

- Systematically evaluating compensation to make sure pay practices are helping the company achieve its competitive goals.
- Giving line managers rather than a centralized human resource department the primary responsibility for determining how much to pay each employee.
- Linking pay to performance so that outstanding performers receive higher compensation than average performers.

**Learning Objective 1**

**How Is Employee Compensation Strategic?**

Compensation practices are strategic when they encourage employees to put forth their best effort and perform in ways that help the company produce its particular goods and services. Similar to other aspects of human resource management, compensation practices are most effective when they fit with
How Is Employee Compensation Strategic?

an organization’s overall HR strategy. In short, pay practices need to fit the broader human resource strategies first described in Chapter 2. As you know by now, these broad HR strategies vary along two dimensions: whether their labor orientation is internal or external and whether the company competes through cost or differentiation.

EXTERNAL VERSUS INTERNAL LABOR

Organizations choosing an external labor orientation frequently hire new employees, and these employees are not expected to form a long-term attachment to the organization. The lack of long-term commitment makes compensation particularly important. In fact, compensation is the primary factor in these employees’ decisions about where to work. Current and potential employees frequently compare the organization’s compensation packages with packages offered by other employers. Employees’ perception of **external equity**—which concerns the fairness of what the company is paying them compared with what they could earn elsewhere—are critical in such employment relationships. People who see a lack of external equity become dissatisfied and choose to work somewhere else. This means that organizations with an external labor orientation must frequently assess how their compensation compares with the compensation offered by other organizations.\(^\text{11}\)

Organizations with an internal labor orientation seek to retain employees for long periods of time. These organizations encourage employees to stay by providing security and good working conditions, which are emphasized more than money.\(^\text{12}\) Employees become attached to the organization and are less likely to compare their compensation with the compensation they believe they could earn elsewhere. Instead, these employees compare their compensation with that of their coworkers. Employees’ perceptions of **internal equity**—their beliefs concerning the fairness of what the organization is paying them compared with what it pays other employees—become critical. Organizations with an internal orientation thus spend a great deal of time and effort comparing and analyzing pay differences among their own employees. Pay practices, such as how much each person makes, are usually less secretive in these organizations than in organizations with an external orientation.\(^\text{13}\) Internally oriented organizations also use long-term incentives to reward employees who stay with them for long periods.

DIFFERENTIATION VERSUS COST STRATEGY

Organizations following a differentiation strategy seek high-performing employees who create superior goods and services. Compensation is used to encourage risk taking. For example, companies like 3M reward rather than punish employees who pursue uncertain products and ideas, even if they fail. Organizations with differentiation strategies also pay some employees much more than others. Success depends a great deal on outstanding contributions from a few individuals, so these organizations reward high performance by paying excellent performers substantially more than low performers. The result is substantial spread between the pay of high contributors and the pay of low contributors.\(^\text{14}\)

In contrast to differentiation, a cost strategy requires organizations to adopt compensation practices that reduce labor expenses. Employees are usually paid fixed salaries that do not increase as performance improves. Thus, there is very

---

**External equity**
Employee perceptions of fairness based on how much they are paid relative to people working in other organizations.

**Internal equity**
Employee perceptions of fairness based on how much they are paid relative to others working in the same organization.
little variation in pay between high and low performers. Emphasizing efficiency and tight coordination results in standardization, which is often accomplished by treating all employees the same. The value of a high performer is not substantially greater than the benefit of an average performer, so compensation is used to develop feelings of inclusion and support from the organization.

ALIGNING COMPENSATION WITH HR STRATEGY

Combining differences in internal and external pay with differences in cost and differentiation results in the grid shown as Figure 11.1. The horizontal dimension represents differences associated with cost and differentiation. Here, the major difference concerns the extent to which high performers are rewarded differently than low performers. A differentiation HR strategy is associated with variable rewards, which have the overall goal of spreading out compensation so that high performers are paid more than low performers. A cost reduction strategy is associated with uniform rewards and is aimed at providing consistent compensation so that employees are treated the same regardless of differences in performance.

The vertical dimension of Figure 11.1 represents the type and length of the desired relationship between employees and the organization. One type of relationship is relational commitment. Relational commitments are based primarily on social ties rather than monetary incentives. Employees in this type of relationship work for an organization over time because they feel a sense of belonging. The organization uses compensation to build a sense of camaraderie and support.

Another type of relationship is transactional commitment. Transactional commitments are based primarily on financial incentives. In this type of relationship, employees are motivated by the short-term rewards they receive. Management uses compensation to encourage individuals to make outstanding contributions, but no one expects employees to develop a long-term relationship with the organization.

Combining the two dimensions yields four general compensation strategies: uniform transactional, uniform relational, variable relational, and variable relational.

**Figure 11.1** Strategic Framework for Employee Selection.
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In Chapter 12, we will look at specific pay practices that fit these categories. Here, we review broad differences between the goals of the four types of compensation.

**Uniform Transactional Compensation**

Perhaps you or a friend of yours has worked as a bagger in a grocery store. Most employees working in this role do not expect a long-term career. There is also little difference in the amount paid to high- and low-performing baggers. This is an example of *uniform transactional compensation*, which is often associated with the Bargain Laborer HR strategy. As explained in Chapter 4, an extreme cost reduction strategy requires consistent contributions from all employees, which reduces the need to recognize high performance with additional compensation. Pay levels are set at the lowest level that allows the organization to attract enough workers, and there is a clear understanding that employees may leave if they receive better offers. Given that most employees working in these organizations have low-paying jobs, many workers can be expected to believe that their pay is lower than it should be. An important aspect of compensation in these organizations is thus developing fair processes and uniform practices that increase perceptions of fairness.

**Uniform Relational Compensation**

The Loyal Soldier HR strategy also seeks to reduce costs, but the emphasis is on building a stable long-term workforce. Emphasizing low labor expenses and encouraging average rather than outstanding performance once again create a setting where high and low performers are treated similarly. However, the long-term orientation requires that compensation be used as a tool to bind employees to the organization. An example might be a community school district that employs a number of teachers and secretaries. Limited funding combined with the desire for stability will often result in the school district adopting the Loyal Soldier HR *strategy and uniform relational compensation*, which provides similar rewards to all employees while building a sense of commitment to the organization.

With uniform relational compensation, pay increases are usually linked to time with the organization. Employees are rewarded for remaining loyal and not leaving to accept positions with competitors. Cooperation among employees, as well as a feeling of solidarity, is enhanced through compensation structured to decrease differences between high and low performers. Procedures that allow employees to express concerns about unfairness are also important. Long-term forms of compensation other than salary, such as health insurance and retirement benefits, are particularly helpful in building the employee commitment that is necessary for success with the Loyal Soldier HR strategy.

**Variable Transactional Compensation**

Compensation is the primary source of motivation for employees working in organizations with a Free Agent HR strategy. These organizations use *variable transactional compensation*, which provides strong monetary incentives for high performers. A good example is a technology consulting firm. Highly skilled employees join and remain with a firm specifically because they receive high wages. Because organizations pursuing a Free Agent HR strategy need high performers with somewhat rare skills, they must pay more than other employers. Paying top performers within the organization more than low performers also attracts more productive people to apply for jobs. 18 Short-term
salary and bonuses are emphasized more than future rewards, such as retirement savings. Top performers are paid well, and individuals who succeed at risky ventures receive substantial rewards.

Employees in Free Agent organizations usually have opportunities to work for many possible employers, so an individual’s salary is based primarily on what he or she would be worth to other organizations. The result is highly flexible compensation practices. Often, new employees are paid much more than employees who have been working at the organization for years in a similar position—a situation known as salary compression.

**Variable Relational Compensation**

Organizations pursuing a Committed Expert HR strategy also use compensation to reward high performers, but at the same time they strive to build long-term commitment. These are the objectives of variable relational compensation. Management sets high goals for employees, and those who reach the goals are paid more than those who do not. Thus, people doing the same job are often paid very different amounts. An example of such strategy and compensation is a medical research and development laboratory. High performing researchers are paid more than low performers, but the need for long-term inputs also necessitates compensation practices that bind employees to long-term careers.

Overall, compensation in Committed Expert organizations is set at a level that is high enough to attract people with the most desirable skills. Because of their relatively high levels of expertise and skill, employees working for these organizations expect to receive higher wages than people working for other organizations. As long as the organization communicates its policies clearly and fairly, paying the best employees more than others helps retain top performers.\(^{19}\) Turnover is also reduced by offering long-term incentives such as retirement benefits and stock options. Top performers need to receive immediate rewards that recognize their contributions, but they must also receive long-term incentives that bind them to the organization for a number of years.

**CONCEPT CHECK**

1. *How are variable rewards different from uniform rewards?*
2. *What is the major emphasis of relational commitment? What is the emphasis of transactional commitment?*
3. *How do compensation differences align with basic HR strategies?*

**LEARNING OBJECTIVE 2**

**How Does Compensation Motivate People?**

Underlying all the compensation strategies just discussed is the assumption that pay can be used to motivate employees. How does money motivate people? Several theories explain why people react to pay as they do. These theories, which are grounded in principles of psychology and economics, provide principles that can be used to develop effective compensation practices. We
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discuss several theories in this section, but first we provide some background on the concept of motivation.

Motivation can be defined as a force that causes people to engage in a particular behavior rather than other behaviors. More specifically, motivation is represented by three elements: behavioral choice, intensity, and persistence. Each element, in turn, requires a decision:

- **Behavioral choice** involves deciding whether to perform a particular action.
- **Intensity** concerns deciding how much effort to put into the behavior.
- **Persistence** involves deciding how long to keep working at the behavior.

As a student, you encounter each of these choices every day when you show whether you are motivated to do homework. The first step in showing motivation is to choose to spend time on schoolwork rather than other activities, such as watching television or playing sports. Once you have decided to spend time doing homework, you must next decide how hard you will focus on the work you are doing. Higher motivation is shown when you work continuously with maximum effort rather than taking frequent breaks and thinking mostly about other things. The final aspect of motivation is persistence, or how long you will keep at the task. You might show low motivation if you work only for a few minutes and high motivation if you work for several hours. In sum, you show high motivation when you choose to do your homework rather than something else, when you pursue your homework with high intensity, and when you persist in doing it for a long period of time. Similarly, employees show high motivation by choosing to exert maximum effort to perform critical work tasks with for a long period of time.

THEORIES OF MOTIVATION

Every organization wants to motivate its employees. Organizations want employees to engage in behaviors that will lead to success, and they want them to pursue desirable behaviors with intensity and persistence. How can organizations use compensation to meet these goals? Principles from various motivation theories explain how compensation practices can provide motivation. Here, we discuss five theories: reinforcement theory, goal-setting theory, justice theory, expectancy theory, and agency theory.

Reinforcement Theory

Would performance in your human resource class improve if your professor offered cash to the students who scored highest on a test? Although such a motivational tactic might become expensive, the performance of most students—particularly those who considered themselves the smartest and most likely to get the cash reward—would improve.

Using a reward such as money to encourage high performance is consistent with reinforcement theory. This theory, which comes from the field of psychology, holds that behavior is caused by chains of antecedents and consequents. **Antecedents** are factors in the environment that cue someone to engage in a specific behavior. For instance, the smell of fresh-baked apple pie might serve as an antecedent that encourages a person to eat. **Consequents** are results associated with specific behaviors. Antecedents and consequents are linked together because the antecedent causes people to think about the consequent. For example, one consequent of eating apple pie is the
pleasurable feeling it gives you. Thus, the good smell motivates you to eat the pie because it reminds you of the pleasure associated with the taste. Of course a behavioral consequent can be negative, as when eating too much pie makes you feel sick. When associated with compensation, though, the core idea of reinforcement theory is that people will engage in the behaviors for which they are rewarded. Furthermore, cues in the environment can help focus attention on the rewards that come after the completion of specific behaviors.21

One important principle of reinforcement is **contingency**. This principle tells us that a consequent motivates behavior only when it is contingent—that is, when it depends on the occurrence of the behavior. Contingency suggests that a reward should be given if, and only if, the desired behavior occurs. Otherwise, the potential reward loses the ability to motivate. Think about a parent who tells a child that he can have a cookie if he cleans his room. The child fails to clean the room, but the parent gives him the cookie anyway. According to the principle of contingency, the child will not be motivated to clean his room in return for a cookie in the future. The cookie has lost its motivational power.

In a similar way, pay motivates performance only when it is contingent on specific behaviors and outcomes. A key principle from reinforcement theory is thus that compensation should be based on performance so that better performers receive higher pay. The practice of allocating pay so that high performers receive more than low performers is known as **pay-for-performance**. As described in the “How Do We Know?” feature, employees whose pay is contingent generally perform better than employees whose pay is not contingent.22 Linking pay to performance can be particularly beneficial when it is part of an overall program of performance assessment, goal setting, and feedback.23

**How Do We Know?**

**DO CONTINGENT REWARDS REALLY IMPROVE PERFORMANCE?**

Can compensation be used as a tool to increase the performance of fast-food workers and thus improve bottom-line profits? Suzanne Peterson and Fred Luthans conducted an experiment to find the answer to this question. They trained managers in fast-food restaurants to use contingent rewards for employees. Once the training was completed, they compared the performance of the restaurants with trained managers to the performance of other restaurants.

Managers who received the training used an incentive system that rewarded all their employees if they observed enough individuals performing critical tasks. The restaurants that used contingent rewards had faster drive-through times and higher profits. Employee turnover was also lower in the restaurants that used contingent rewards.

**The Bottom Line.** Contingent rewards can improve performance. Peterson and Luthans specifically conclude that contingent rewards have a positive effect that endures over time.

How Does Compensation Motivate People?

Goal-Setting Theory
Does setting a goal to achieve a certain grade in a class help you to perform better in that class? The simple answer is yes. The potential value of setting specific goals is highlighted in many studies showing that people who set goals do indeed perform better. Goal-setting theory is grounded in cognitive psychology and holds that behavior is motivated by conscious choices. Goals improve performance through four specific motivational processes:

1. Goals focus attention away from other activities toward the desired behavior. This effect is seen, for example, when a long-distance runner sets herself a goal to run a marathon in a certain time. Because of this goal, the runner is likely to spend more effort on running and less on other activities.

2. Goals get people energized and excited about accomplishing something worthwhile. In our example, the runner’s goal provides her with a vision of accomplishing a difficult task. This sense of vision and potential accomplishment builds excitement that increases her intensity during workouts.

3. People work on tasks longer when they have specific goals. The runner’s goal encourages her to be more persistent and not give up when facing setbacks such as fatigue or injury.

4. Goals encourage the discovery and use of knowledge. Thus, the runner’s goal might encourage her to investigate and learn training tips and race strategies. In sum, having a goal can improve performance by focusing attention, increasing intensity and persistence, and encouraging learning.

If goals are to act as effective motivators, they must be achievable. Suppose the goal of the runner in our earlier example was to run a marathon in under three hours. Running a marathon in this amount of time is beyond the skill of most people, no matter how hard they train. Having a goal that is nearly impossible to reach may actually harm performance by building a sense of frustration. Goals should thus be combined with effective selection and training practices to ensure that employees develop the needed skills.

Goal setting in work organizations can be combined with compensation in a number of ways. One obvious method is to offer a difficult goal and provide a bonus only to those who achieve it. This has the benefit of encouraging employees to really stretch and put forth their best effort. A problem with providing a reward only to individuals who achieve the so-called stretch goal is that many employees will barely miss the goal and will then become frustrated.

Another method of linking goals and compensation is to provide incremental rewards for people who achieve progressively higher goals. In this case, employees who achieve an initial, easy goal receive small rewards. Those who go on to achieve more difficult goals receive somewhat larger rewards, and those who accomplish the stretch goal receive rewards that are still larger. This incremental method can encourage everyone to try harder, even those who don’t think they can achieve the stretch goal. The problem is that some are satisfied with the small rewards, and fewer people may put forth their maximum effort to achieve the highest level of performance.

A third method of combining goals with compensation is to establish a difficult goal and then decide on the amount of the reward after performance has occurred. This method allows the manager to take into account factors such as how close the employee came to the goal, how hard the employee appeared to work, and whether environmental conditions had an effect on the employee’s ability to meet the goal. Unfortunately, waiting to decide on
the amount of the reward until after the performance has occurred can sometimes seem unfair. Accurately determining how much to pay can also be difficult if the manager doesn’t know the whole set of circumstances that affected the employee’s opportunity to achieve the goal.

**Justice Theory**

Suppose you studied much harder for an exam than a friend, only to end up getting a lower grade than the friend. Would you consider that outcome unfair? Judgments about the fairness of outcomes in relation to efforts are at the core of justice theory. This psychological perspective holds that motivation depends on beliefs about fairness.

An early form of justice theory was equity theory, which is illustrated in Figure 11.2. According to equity theory, people compare their inputs and outcomes to the inputs and outcomes of others. Employees are particularly prone to comparing themselves to others whom they perceive as being paid the most, suggesting that comparisons may be biased. Nevertheless, equity theory suggests that a computer programmer is motivated by the comparisons that she makes between herself and other people. She first assesses how much effort and skill she puts into her job relative to how much she is paid. She then compares this with how much effort and skill others put into their jobs relative to how much they are paid. She compares the ratio of her inputs and outcomes with the ratios for others. She feels inequity if she believes that she works harder and contributes more to the organization than another programmer who is paid the same salary.

Employees who perceive inequity might try a number of things to make their pay seem fairer. On the one hand, they may decrease their inputs to the organization—for example, by spending less time at work and putting forth less effort. On the other hand, they might try to increase the outcomes they receive from the organization. Asking for and receiving a pay raise is one way to...

![Figure 11.2 Equity Theory.](image-url)
increase outcomes. Equity theory has also been used to explain employee theft. People who perceive inequity are more likely to steal from their employers in an attempt to increase their outcomes. People who continue to feel inequity are likely to leave the organization and start working somewhere else.

Equity theory is an example of what is known as distributive justice. **Distributive justice** is concerned with the fairness of outcomes. In terms of compensation, distributive justice focuses on whether people believe the amount of pay they receive is fair. A different form of justice is **procedural justice**, which is concerned with the fairness of the procedures used to allocate outcomes. The focus here is on the process used to decide who gets which rewards.

Although some people are simply prone to see everything as unfair, most people consider a number of issues when judging whether an organization’s compensation procedures are fair. Not surprisingly, people with higher wages tend to see pay as more fair. Employees with low pay also tend to think it is unfair to pay some employees more than others. In a similar way, employees who are near the bottom of the pay scale are concerned about the minimum amount they can make, whereas employees near the top of the scale care more about the maximum they can make. Overall, compensation strategies tend to be seen as more fair when they are free of favoritism, encourage employee participation in decisions about how rewards will be allocated, and allow for appeal from people who think they are being mistreated. Compensation procedures are also more likely to be seen as fair when they are based on accurate performance appraisal information. In the end, employees who see the organization as more fair tend to have higher levels of satisfaction and commitment, as well as higher individual performance.

**Expectancy Theory**

**Expectancy theory** offers a somewhat complex view of how individuals are motivated. The theory proposes that motivation comes from three beliefs: valence, instrumentality, and expectancy. The overall framework is shown in Figure 11.3.

---

**Distributive justice**

Perceptions of fairness based on the outcomes (such as pay) received from an organization.

**Procedural justice**

Perceptions of fairness based on the processes used to allocate outcomes such as pay.

---

**Figure 11.3** Expectancy Theory.
1. **Valence** is the belief that a certain reward is valuable. The concept of valence is an important reminder that not everyone is motivated by the same thing. Suppose, for example, that a company offers to send its highest performing employees on an all-expenses-paid trip to a Caribbean resort. This reward may be highly valued by some employees but may be undesirable to others. Only those who value the vacation will be motivated to do what is required to earn it.

2. **Instrumentality** is the belief that a desirable reward will really be given if the appropriate behavior or outcome is produced. Obviously, if employees don’t believe that they will receive the promised reward even if they perform the required actions, they will not be motivated by the reward.

3. **Expectancy** concerns people’s belief that they can actually achieve the desired level of performance. This belief is based in part on people’s assessment of their own skills and abilities. Motivation is higher when people believe they are capable of high performance. Expectancy belief may also be based in part on an assessment of whether the environment will create obstacles that limit performance. Motivation is reduced when people believe that things such as lack of materials and equipment will keep them from being able to perform well.

According to expectancy theory, all three desirable beliefs must be present for motivation to occur. For example, a sales representative may value a high commission (valence) and may believe that she will receive it if she closes a specific sale (instrumentality). However, she won’t be motivated to pursue the sale unless she really believes she can do something that will influence the client to make the purchase (expectancy). A food server in a restaurant may believe that he is able to provide great service (expectancy), and he may value high tips (valence), but if he doesn’t believe a certain customer will leave a tip even if his performance is excellent (instrumentality), he will not be motivated to give that customer great service. In the end, expectancy theory suggests that people are motivated when they believe they will actually receive a reward for higher performance.

**Agency Theory**

Imagine that a child is sent to the store to buy laundry soap for his family. He is given $5 and told that he can spend any leftover money on candy. At the store, he finds two cartons of laundry soap. A small box that has been damaged is priced at $2. A large box that is intact is priced at $4. Which box will the child choose? On the one hand, he would like to buy the $2 box of soap and spend $3 on candy. On the other hand, the parent will be better served if the child buys the $4 box of soap.

In this scenario, we can look at the parent as the *principal* and the child as the *agent*. An agent is someone who acts on behalf of a principal. Thus, a company’s employees are agents of the owners of the company, who are the principals. When the company is a publicly held corporation, the owners are the shareholders. An interesting feature of the agent–principal relationship is that the interests of agents are not necessarily the same as the interests of principals, as you can see in our laundry soap example. **Agency theory** suggests that we can gain insight into motivation by thinking about these differences.

One area in which principals (owners or stockholders) have interests different from those of agents (employees) involves risk. The owners of a business might benefit from taking risks that grow the business at a very rapid
pace. However, the risk associated with high growth may be undesirable for an employee who perceives that growth may create short-term problems and cause the employee to lose his or her job. In most cases, employees are not willing to share risk unless they can also share the potential for a bigger reward. This idea seems obvious if you think about risk in terms of earning wages. Suppose you agree to work in a sandwich shop for a week, and you get to decide how you will be paid. One choice is to get paid $400 for working 40 hours. The other choice is to get paid a percentage of total sales up to a certain level. With this option you may earn more than $400 if sales are good, but you may also earn less than $400 if sales are poor. Would you take the second option if the most you could possibly earn was $405? You probably would not accept the risk for such a small gain. But what if the most you could earn was $1,000? If you chose the second option, you—the employee—would be bearing some of the risk for sales being high or low. You would most likely be willing to assume that risk only if you thought there was a chance for you to earn significantly more money. A general principle of agency theory is thus that wage rates should be higher when employees bear risk. For this reason, incentive plans that pay for performance are only effective when they give employees the opportunity to earn more than they could earn with fixed wages, such as hourly pay.43

Another important aspect of agency theory is the observation that principals and agents often don’t have the same information. For example, think about large corporations. The owners—or stockholders—really know very little about the operations of the company. Agents—or managers—know a lot about the company, but the managers may be afraid to share information. Sometimes the information may make the agents look incompetent, suggesting that agents may not share all the different methods available for increasing profits. Owners may not be made aware of potential courses of action that might benefit them. Because owners can’t always observe and effectively monitor the actions of employees, agency theory suggests that compensation practices must be structured so that employees are rewarded when they do the things that would be most desirable from the owners’ perspective. A common example is giving stock options to top executives. With stock options, executives are rewarded when the stock price increases, which is assumed to be the preferred outcome of owners. Another example is to pay sales representatives with commissions so that they are rewarded for behavior that is aligned with the selling interests of the owners.44 A second general principle of agency theory is thus that pay should be structured so that managers and employees receive higher rewards when they do the things that increase value for owners and shareholders.

LINKING MOTIVATION WITH STRATEGY

Each motivational theory has a slightly different focus, and in some cases concepts from one theory may be slightly at odds with concepts from another theory. Nevertheless, we can derive several basic principles from the motivational theories. These principles provide guidance for determining the best ways to motivate employees through the use of compensation practices.

Motivational principles can also be linked to the compensation strategies we discussed earlier in the chapter. (You may want to refer back to Figure 11.1 to review the strategic framework for employee compensation.) An overview
of motivation principles is shown as Table 11.1. Recall that organizations using differentiation strategies tend to use variable compensation, while organizations focusing on cost tend to use uniform compensation. In general, then, organizations with variable compensation systems have a greater need for high motivation than organizations with uniform compensation practices. However, organizations with uniform compensation practices can also make use of key motivational principles.

Table 11.1  Principles for Increasing Motivation through Compensation

Develop pay-for-performance plans.
Link pay with goals that encourage stretch efforts.
Understand the referent groups employees use when assessing the fairness of pay.
Follow principles of procedural fairness, including accurate assessment, lack of bias, and opportunity to have input.
Provide rewards that are large enough to matter.
Coordinate with selection and training to ensure that employees have the skills they need to meet goals.
Align the interests of employees with the interests of owners.
Give higher rewards for employees who assume risk.

Variable Compensation and Motivation

Although some experts have suggested that linking pay to performance can reduce the joy of performing naturally interesting tasks, a majority of evidence shows that performance increases when high performers are paid more than low performers. The importance of pay for performance is therefore particularly high in organizations using variable compensation.

The strategic focus of differentiation makes high performance of employees critical for these organizations, and linking pay with performance is a strong motivator that encourages employees to put forth their best efforts. Organizations using variable compensation also benefit a great deal from making rewards contingent on achieving goals. As part of a Free Agent HR strategy, the organization can encourage exceptionally high performance by rewarding only individuals who reach the highest level of goal achievement. This practice provides a short-term incentive that pushes employees to exert maximum effort. Organizations with variable relational compensation pursuing a Committed Expert HR strategy can benefit from providing rewards to everyone who attains at least some level of goal achievement. This ensures that long-term employees don’t get discouraged and quit if they fail to achieve a particularly high goal. Because employees working under variable compensation tend to assume greater risk, the overall level of compensation should thus be higher in organizations with Free Agent and Committed Expert HR strategies than in organizations with Bargain Laborer and Loyal Soldier strategies.

Understanding what reference group employees use in assessing the fairness of their pay is another important feature of variable compensation strategies. As part of the Committed Expert HR strategy, the primary reference group is people working in the same organization. With a Free Agent HR strategy, the primary reference group is people working in similar jobs at other companies. This distinction is important for understanding how compensation can be used to help an organization achieve a particular competitive strategy.
For instance, a professor who teaches in a medical school will likely believe that she is paid well when she compares her salary with the salaries of, say, professors of literature at her university. She may, however, not feel as good if her comparison group is highly paid medical school professors at other universities. How do these comparisons relate to competitive strategies? Comparisons with other professors at the same university are most critical if the university has a strategy of developing long-term relationships that focus on commitment rather than monetary rewards. Such long-term relationships are likely beneficial if the university is well established and seeking to defend its status. In contrast, comparisons with similar professors at other universities are most critical if the university has a strategy that benefits from short-term relationships. Such short-term relationships may be helpful if the organization is seeking to change, grow, or innovate.

Because organizations with a Committed Expert HR strategy are interested in developing ongoing relationships with employees, procedural fairness is particularly important for these organizations. Employees need to develop trust and feel that the organization supports them. Support often comes from feeling that the organization treats them fairly. Such perceptions are less critical in organizations with a Free Agent HR strategy.

Unfortunately, many variable compensation practices fail to motivate because the size of the potential reward is not large enough to influence behavior. Motivation will not increase, for example, if the organization offers a 2 percent salary increase to low performers and a 2.1 percent increase to high performers. In the terminology of expectancy theory, the amount of extra salary that can be earned doesn’t have the valence required. This is a particular problem for many organizations that provide relatively small annual salary increases to a majority of employees. The amount of difference between a raise for a high performer and a raise for a low performer is just too small to motivate behavior.

Uniform Compensation and Motivation
Uniform compensation practices are not as effective as variable compensation practices for encouraging high motivation. The goal with uniform practices is to create a culture of fairness and cooperation. Incentives that encourage high individual performance for some often lead individuals to sabotage and compete with coworkers, which in turn reduces the performance of the group as a whole. Rewards in organizations with uniform compensation are thus structured to reduce the emphasis on extreme individual performance.

In judging whether their pay is fair, employees in an organization with a Loyal Soldier HR strategy tend to compare themselves with employees working in the same organization. Such organizations usually seek competitive advantage through cost reduction, which means that they are unlikely to pay the premium wages required to secure employees who already possess rare skills and abilities. Rather than using high pay to buy talent, these organizations often use training to develop skills. Often, these skills are unique to a particular organization. For example, a consultant may become very skilled at understanding and resolving problems within a framework that is used only within the firm. The common framework increases the efficiency of his efforts, but his knowledge and ability would not be of much value to other firms not using the company-specific framework. Employees in cost-focused organizations often develop skills that have little value to other organizations, which decreases the likelihood that other organizations will be willing to offer
them higher salaries. This has the effect of binding employees to the organization in a way that does not increase overall labor costs.

Organizations with a Bargain Laborer HR strategy seek to pay the lowest possible wages. Employees in these organizations are likely to move from organization to organization depending on which organization is willing to pay the most. Yet the relatively low skill level of these employees suggests that the wage rate will be near the minimum wage that is allowed under the law. We discuss the effect of minimum wage laws later in this chapter.

**CONCEPT CHECK**

1. What are the three elements of motivation?
2. How do different theories explain motivational processes?
3. What compensation guidelines can be derived from motivational theories?

**LEARNING OBJECTIVE 3**

**How Is Compensation Level Determined?**

We turn next to the question of how an organization determines its pay level—the amount of overall pay that employees earn in that organization relative to what employees earn in other organizations. As with motivational strategies, the pay-level strategy an organization chooses depends largely on its competitive strategy. However, the first step in the process of determining pay level is to gain information to understand the compensation packages being provided by other organizations. This is done through pay surveys.

**PAY SURVEYS**

To determine the appropriate pay level, an organization must identify a comparison group and then obtain data about compensation in the organizations that make up the comparison group. The result of this analysis is a pay survey that provides information about how much other organizations are paying employees. Pay surveys are often conducted by consulting firms, which obtain confidential information from numerous organizations and create reports that describe average pay levels without divulging information about specific companies. Professional organizations, such as associations of accountants and engineers, also conduct pay surveys that report wage and salary information for particular positions.

A source of public data about pay level is the Bureau of Labor Statistics, an agency of the U.S. Department of Labor, which collects employment data. For instance, Figure 11.4 shows compensation rates reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics for three different jobs in three different cities. The data show that in each city, supervisors are paid more than cashiers but not as much as dentists. Cashiers in Seattle are paid more than cashiers in
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Figure 11.4 Sample BLS Pay Survey Results. Source: Information from Bureau of Labor Statistics (http://www.bls.gov/home.htm).

New York, but supervisors in New York are paid more than supervisors in Seattle. Over the three-year period shown in the figure, compensation for dentists generally increased, but compensation for supervisors and cashiers was relatively unchanged. This information can be helpful for organizations trying to determine how much to pay employees. However, thinking carefully about the information in Figure 11.4 reveals a number of potential problems with pay surveys.

One problem is choosing the appropriate comparison group. An interesting example is how a major university might conduct a pay survey to determine how much to pay athletic coaches. One potential choice is to use all university coaches as the comparison group. Another choice is to use coaches in the top 20 nationally ranked programs. Since high-performing college coaches often receive offers from professional teams, still another choice may be a comparison group that includes coaches of professional teams. The outcome of a pay survey will likely be very different depending on which of these groups is chosen.

How does an organization choose the right comparison group? Good comparison groups often include organizations that compete in the same product and service markets. As shown by the data in Figure 11.4, choosing the right geographic region for the comparison can also be important. A dental practice using comparison data from Seattle might easily determine that it is paying dentists an above-average wage, but the same practice might determine that it has below-average pay if it uses comparison data from Chicago. Of course, the correct comparison group depends on the nature of the position. Some jobs, such as bank teller, tend to draw workers from a rather small geographic area, suggesting that the best comparison group is
probably the local city. Other jobs, such as investment banker, tend to draw workers from much larger geographic areas, meaning that the best comparison group may be a multistate area or even the entire nation. The correct comparison group can also depend on competitive strategy. A dental practice in New York may not see all firms in New York as its competitors. The firm may want to compare its salaries only with salaries at the most service-oriented practices. Such a comparison requires data that may be available only from a consulting firm.

Another potential problem with pay surveys concerns the difficulty of obtaining salary information for specific jobs. Identifying jobs that are the same in all organizations may seem relatively simple, but it is actually quite difficult. For example, a secretary in one organization may have a large number

Technology in HR

**BE CAREFUL WHEN OBTAINING INFORMATION**

A few years ago, companies purchasing survey information received large written reports from consulting firms. The widespread use of the Internet as a convenient method for sharing information has largely put an end to that practice. Companies now want direct access to databases that allow them to conduct specific analyses. They want to examine a large variety of comparisons to better understand their pay levels. They also want to obtain information for more specific job categories, and they want comparisons within more specific geographic locations. This greater specificity of information can be helpful when the database contains information from enough companies to create reliable and accurate comparisons, but problems can arise when comparisons are based on data from a small number of organizations. In many cases, the amount of data available is simply insufficient for specific comparisons.

Widespread use of the Internet has also increased the amount of information that employees can find. Many employees use the Internet to obtain salary information, which they use to negotiate starting salaries and pay raises. In many cases this is helpful. More information provides the employee with a greater understanding of his or her pay relative to the pay of others in similar positions. But problems arise when an employee obtains information that is not accurate, and the Internet can be a source of inaccurate information.

Much of the data available to employees on the Internet does not adequately account for geographic differences, for example. In addition, job categories are so broad that specific comparisons are usually inaccurate. Data provided free of charge on the Internet may not be well suited for the specific comparisons being made by employees. Organizations are thus placing greater emphasis on communicating the sources of their data and explaining why free Internet data may provide an inaccurate picture of compensation.

of important duties such as planning and maintaining budgets. A secretary in another organization may act primarily as a receptionist who answers telephone calls. In Figure 11.4, the position of supervisor is the one most likely to suffer from this problem. The duties and responsibilities of supervisors in one organization may be very different from those of supervisors in other organizations. Factors that make the supervisor position different across organizations include the number of people being supervised, the nature of the products being sold, and the education and training of the subordinates. To address this problem, quality pay surveys obtain information that extends beyond job titles and includes a list of actual duties performed.

Because of the difficulty in creating pay comparisons for every job, a common practice in pay surveys is to obtain comparison data only for key positions. Comparing pay level in these key positions helps the organization picture its overall compensation relative to other organizations. The amount of pay for positions not included in the comparison data is then determined by weighing their value relative to the positions included in the survey.

The data in Figure 11.4 also illustrate that pay levels change over time—and they may change rapidly. For example, notice the rapid upward trend of pay for dentists in Seattle. A dental practice in Seattle using old comparison data might conclude that it is paying more than competitors when it is actually paying only average. Good pay surveys thus use current data.52

As illustrated in the “Technology in HR” feature, more and more data are available, but not all data are good data. It should be clear by now that when it comes to pay surveys, an organization must clearly understand the data it is obtaining from a comparison group in order to know whether it is making an appropriate comparison. Consider one final example. Figure 11.5 illustrates the results of a pay survey for employees who work in the field of training and development.53 Suppose a small organization located in Colorado pays an executive in that field an annual salary of $90,000. If the organization compares this salary with salaries within its geographic area, then it will conclude that it is paying an above-average wage. However, if it uses small firms for the comparison, it will conclude that it is paying a below-average wage. Of course,

![Figure 11.5 Pay Survey Results for Training Professionals. Source: Information from Holly Dolezalek, “The 2005 Annual Salary Survey,” Training 42, no. 10 (2005): 12–23.](image-url)
a solution is to obtain data from small firms in Colorado. This is, however, somewhat difficult in practice, because the number of organizations fitting such a specific category and willing to provide data may not be large enough to make up a good comparison sample. The organization may need to use a number of different comparison groups and combine the results to arrive at the estimate that best reflects its standing relative to others. No matter the specific approach, it is critical that organizational leaders critically evaluate the usefulness of the data.

**PAY-LEVEL STRATEGIES**

After obtaining information about compensation in other organizations, the next step is to develop a pay strategy that determines how high pay should be. One possible compensation strategy is to pay employees more than what they can earn elsewhere. For instance, our earlier discussion of Marriott explained how the hotel chain strives to maintain a higher level of pay than other employers. But not all organizations benefit from paying more than their competitors. There are three basic strategies for pay level: meet-the-market, lag-the-market, and lead-the-market. Here “the market” refers to a selected group of organizations, such as organizations in the same industry or in the same geographical area. Data about the pay of these comparative organizations is collected through the pay survey.

- **Meet-the-market strategy**
  A compensation decision to pay employees an amount similar to what they can make working for other organizations.

- **Lag-the-market strategy**
  A compensation decision to pay employees an amount below what they might earn working for another organization.

- **Lead-the-market strategy**
  A compensation decision to pay employees an amount above what they might earn working for another organization.

- An organization with a **meet-the-market strategy** establishes pay that is in the middle of the pay range for the selected group of organizations. Some employees in the organization may be paid more than they could earn elsewhere, and some may be paid less. On average, though, the pay level is the same as the average pay level for employees across the comparison group. An organization that adopts a meet-the-market strategy seeks to attract and retain quality employees but does not necessarily use compensation as a tool for maintaining a superior workforce.

- With a **lag-the-market strategy**, an organization establishes a pay level that is lower than the average in the comparison group. Of course, some employees may be paid more than similar employees working for other organizations. But the average level of pay for the entire organization is lower than the average level of pay for organizations in the comparison group. In most cases, organizations adopt a lag-the-market pay level strategy as part of a broader strategy to reduce labor costs.

- In an organization with a **lead-the-market strategy**, the average pay level is higher than the average in the comparison group. Once again, this doesn’t mean that every employee will receive higher wages. However, a lead-the-market strategy suggests that the organization seeks to pay most employees more than they would be able to earn in a similar position in another organization. The labor cost for each employee may be higher in these organizations, but they expect the higher cost to be offset by higher performance and lower turnover. The Container Store, which is profiled in the “Building Strength Through HR” feature, is one example of an organization that benefits from a lead-the-market strategy.
Building Strength Through HR

THE CONTAINER STORE

The Container Store is the nation’s originator and leading retailer of storage and organization products. For more than 30 years, the company has consistently grown at an annual rate of 20 percent. More than 47 retail locations in the United States now produce over $500 million in sales each year. The Container Store attributes its success to providing better service than its competitors. The emphasis on service allowed the company to continue opening new stores, even through the down economy of 2009. Prices are important and are kept at competitive levels. However, what sets the Container Store apart from the competition is the 4,000 employees who provide outstanding service.

In large part, The Container Store attracts and retains great employees by paying above-average wages. The company’s objective is to pay store salespeople 50 to 100 percent above the industry average. Generous benefits include health coverage and paid vacations. Many employees are also attracted by flexible scheduling, which helps working mothers and fathers balance work with family demands.

Technology is also an important factor in the company’s success. The American Payroll Association Leadership Forum gave The Container Store the PRISM award for best practices in payroll technology. Notable payroll practices include a website that is available 24 hours a day. Online benefits enrollment, outsourced child-support payments, a dedicated payroll phone line, and payroll tax filings are also included in the technology package. These features supplement the generous pay and benefit programs to create a workplace that has been rated as one of “The 100 Best Companies to Work For” consistently over the past 11 years.


LINKING COMPENSATION LEVEL AND STRATEGY

Even though wages are higher, profitability can often be increased by better employee performance. Differences in pay level can therefore be linked to strategic decisions. Organizations with Bargain Laborer HR strategies tend to use uniform transactional compensation, which focuses on reducing labor costs. These firms most frequently adopt lag-the-market or meet-the-market strategies. At the other extreme, organizations with Free Agent HR strategies use variable transactional compensation to attract top performers. These firms tend to pursue lead-the-market strategies that help them to hire quality workers who are highly skilled. Organizations with internal labor strategies emphasize the development of long-term relationships rather than focusing on money. These organizations generally adopt pay levels somewhere between
meet-the-market and lead-the-market. Organizations pursuing a Committed Expert HR strategy tend to have more of a lead-the-market orientation than those pursuing a Bargain Laborer HR strategy.

**CONCEPT CHECK**

1. How do organizations use the results of pay surveys?
2. What is the key difference between meet-the-market, lag-the-market, and lead-the-market pay level strategies?

**LEARNING OBJECTIVE 4**

**How Is Compensation Structure Determined?**

When you graduate from college and accept a full-time position, do you expect to get paid the same as everyone else who works for the company? The likely answer is no. You might expect to earn less than someone who has been in the same position for several years. However, you might expect to earn more than an employee without a college degree. You might also expect to make more (or less) than a friend who works in a different department and has a degree in a different field. These differences in pay relate to the organization’s pay structure. Whereas pay level is concerned with how compensation differs across organizations, pay structure focuses on how compensation differs for people working in the same organization.

There are two major methods for determining pay structure. One method—often referred to as job-based pay—focuses on evaluating differences in the tasks and duties associated with various positions that employees have. With this method, it is expected that people who have more difficult jobs will be paid more. The other method focuses on directly evaluating differences in the skills and abilities of employees and is often called skill-based pay. In an organization using skill-based pay, an employee might be paid for having a certain set of skills, even if the tasks that the employee normally performs do not require those skills.

**JOB-BASED PAY**

A job-based pay approach typically uses a point system that assigns a numerical value to each job position. The numerical value is designed to capture the overall contribution of the job to the organization. Of course, not everyone performing a certain job will be paid the same amount. Each job is assigned a range of acceptable compensation. Individuals in the job who contribute less are paid near the bottom of the range, and those contributing more are paid near the top. The general trend, however, is for people in jobs worth more points to receive higher compensation.

A simplified example including management accountants is shown in Figure 11.6.55 These jobs differ on the dimension of accountability and are ordered so that those with lower accountability appear on the left side of the graph. Moving from left to right, we move to jobs with higher accountability.

---

**Job-based pay**
A determination of how much to pay an employee that is based on assessments about the duties performed.

**Skill-based pay**
A determination of how much to pay an employee that is based on skills, even if those skills are not currently used to perform duties.

**Point system**
A process of assigning numerical values to each job in order to compare the value of contributions within and across organizations.
How Is Compensation Structure Determined?

and thus higher point values. The box above each point category represents the range of pay associated with that category. For example, the midpoint of the range for people working in low-accountability positions is $83,000. The bottom of the range is $73,000, and the top of the range is $93,000.

One widely used point system is the Hay System. This system was developed and is marketed by the Hay Group, a worldwide compensation consulting firm. The Hay System evaluates jobs in terms of four characteristics: know-how, problem solving, accountability, and working conditions.

- **Know-how** concerns the knowledge and skills required for the job. Jobs are given more points when they require an employee to know and use specialized techniques, when they involve a need to coordinate diverse activities, and when they involve extensive interpersonal interaction.

- **Problem solving** assesses the extent to which the job requires employees to identify and resolve problems. Higher points are assigned to jobs that are less routine, require more thought, and frequently call for adaptation and learning.

- **Accountability** focuses on how much freedom and responsibility a job affords. Jobs are given higher ratings when the people filling them have substantial freedom to determine how to do things and when the tasks performed have a large impact on the organization’s results.

- **Working conditions** captures the extent to which performing the job is unpleasant. The main idea is that jobs should pay more when they require employees to work in dirty, strenuous, or dangerous conditions.

The points assigned on each of the four dimensions are added together to create a numerical score that represents the value of the job. Jobs are then

**Figure 11.6** Job-Based Pay for Management Accountants.

arranged along a continuum from positions assigned low point values to positions assigned high point values. Each job within the organization is given a specific point value. Jobs with similar point values are then grouped together into categories. A category that includes jobs within a specific range is known as a pay grade. A midpoint value, which represents a target for average pay of the jobs included in the category, is established for each pay grade. A range is then created around each midpoint so that pay for everyone performing jobs worth a certain number of points falls within the range. An individual’s level of compensation within the range is determined by things such as experience and performance level.

An important consideration for job-based pay concerns the range of point totals that are grouped together into a pay grade. Some organizations adopt narrow categories so that each pay grade includes only a few jobs. This process allows for clear distinctions among positions. Other organizations use a process known as broadbanding. Here, there are fewer categories, and each category includes a broader range of jobs. The practice of broadbanding thus results in fewer pay grades, which are sometimes referred to as pay bands. An organization that uses broadbanding may need to establish and track only three to five pay grades, resulting in improved efficiency. At the same time, each pay grade includes more jobs covering a wider range of points. Thus, the pay range within each pay grade is larger, so that an employee’s salary does not hit the top of the range as quickly. Indeed, more flexibility in determining an individual’s pay is a primary benefit of broadbanding.

Job-based pay systems have a number of advantages. Use of the point system provides a clear method for controlling and administering pay. Centralized human resource personnel conduct surveys and establish guidelines for determining how much to pay each employee. Pay practices that are job-based also appear to be very objective. Employees can directly compare their pay with the pay of others in the organization, strengthening perceptions of internal equity. Moreover, assigning numerical values to specific factors that are summed into an overall score is thought to reduce bias. Having a numerical value for each job also makes it easier to compare vastly different jobs. Very different positions, such as nurse and marketing coordinator, can be assigned points and compared to get a sense of pay equity.

Job-based pay systems also have disadvantages. One potential problem is centralized control. As explained in our earlier discussion of the Marriott Corporation, pay can often be more effectively controlled by local managers, who have a better sense of employee qualifications and alternative work opportunities. Another disadvantage is the fact that in many job-based systems, employees at the top of a pay range can only receive higher compensation if they are promoted into a position worth more points. This often has the effect of encouraging individuals to seek promotions even when their interests and skills don’t match the requirements of the higher-level position. This emphasis on promotions is one reason organizations have begun broadbanding so that employees can move up within a large band without being promoted to a different job. Another concern with job-based pay is that individuals often try to get their current positions reevaluated and valued with higher points, so that they will receive higher pay, even though the tasks they are performing have not really changed. Inflexibility and resistance to change are also common with job-based pay systems, since the major focus is on classifying tasks into clear-cut objective categories that can be represented by a numerical score. Job-based compensation makes it difficult to hire new employees who require
a wage that is above the established range. Finally, there is little incentive for employees to learn new skills that are not part of their formal job duties.

**SKILL-BASED PAY**

A skill-based pay system shifts emphasis away from jobs and focuses on the skills that workers possess. In essence, this system pays people relative to their value rather than relative to the value of their current position. Employees are paid more when they develop more skills. The primary objective is to encourage the development of skills linked to the overall strategic direction of the organization.

An application of skill-based pay in a manufacturing plant is shown in Figure 11.7. In this case, Skill Set 1 might include the ability to solve simple math problems, communicate orally, and read and follow written directions. People need this skill set in order to be hired at the starting rate of $11 per hour. Once employees learn Skill Set 2 (operating basic machines and equipment), their pay rises to $15 per hour. Pay increases to $18 per hour when employees learn Skill Set 3 (production planning, equipment repair) and to $21 when they learn Skill Set 4 (ability to interact with vendors and customers). Employees are thus paid for the skills they develop rather than for occupying a specific position.

Skill sets can be defined in a number of ways. Some organizations require employees to learn entirely new skills, as when a salesperson learns accounting skills. Other organizations encourage employees to develop deeper skills in specific areas, as when an accountant learns new tax rules and regulations. In either case, the primary objective is to tie pay increases to the development of skills useful to the organization.

Several different methods can be used to determine whether an employee has learned a skill set. In some cases, written tests are used to assess learning. In other cases, coworkers or supervisors administer tests or observe actions to certify that a skill set has been mastered. Regardless of how the assessment is made, an important feature of most skill-based pay systems is allowing employees to learn new skills at their own pace. Some employees advance quickly under this plan, while a few never advance to higher levels.

Skill-based pay plans do have some disadvantages. One problem is that payroll costs tend to be higher with skill-based pay. Employees are paid higher wages when they acquire additional skills, even if they don’t use those skills to perform their current duties. Training costs can also be high if classes and other training resources are needed to develop the skills. In addition,
problems arise when employees master the highest skill set and perceive that they have no more room for advancement.\(^59\)

Even with the potential disadvantages, skill-based pay appears to offer a number of benefits. For one thing, the increased emphasis on skill development provides a better-trained workforce. A related advantage is greater flexibility in production processes. In most cases, a large number of employees have been trained to perform several different duties. Thus, when someone is ill, or when someone quits, several substitutes are available to fill in. Emphasizing skills rather than jobs also helps to build a culture that supports greater participation and employee self-management.\(^60\) These benefits are substantial enough that skill-based pay has been linked to higher organizational productivity.\(^61\)

**LINKING COMPENSATION STRUCTURE TO STRATEGY**

Once again, we can link organizations’ compensation structure to their overall HR strategies. In organizations pursuing Free Agent HR strategies through variable transactional compensation, pay is used to attract people with specific skills. Skill-based pay that compensates individuals for the specific skills they bring is thus useful for many organizations pursuing this strategy. Internal equity is not critical, and people with better skills are paid substantially more than people with limited skills. Once people are hired in these organizations, little emphasis is placed on the acquisition of new skills, since employees are not expected to stay with the organization for long.

Skill-based pay can also be beneficial for organizations pursuing a Loyal Soldier HR strategy. Organizations pursuing this strategy use uniform relational compensation to bind individuals to the organization and minimize differences between employees. Skill-based pay that is linked to specific training is helpful in promoting these goals. Giving everyone the opportunity to learn new skills and advance to higher pay levels builds a sense of teamwork. Linking pay to the skills needed by the organization also forms stronger ties between employees and the organization, which creates uniformity.

Job-based pay is most closely aligned with the Committed Expert HR strategy and variable relational compensation. The emphasis on long-term employment relationships makes internal equity particularly important in these organizations, and opportunity for promotion is a significant motivator. With job-based pay, employees are able to see a rational basis for pay decisions. They are also able to see how promotions can increase their pay. Compensation is based on length of time with the organization and type of input contributed, but a sense of equity is retained.

Job-based pay is also beneficial for organizations with Bargain Laborer HR strategies. These organizations don’t usually seek to hire people who have developed specific skills. The overall objective is to minimize labor cost by paying people only for the contributions they provide and not for skills in other areas.

**CONCEPT CHECK**

1. How does job-based pay use points to decide how much to pay each employee?
2. What factors do organizations using skill-based pay use to determine how much to pay each employee?
3. How do job-based pay and skill-based pay fit with HR strategies?
We end the chapter with a look at how government regulations affect the decisions organizations make about compensation. First, we describe a major federal law in this area, and then we discuss state and local regulations. Taken together, these laws create some important requirements with which organizations must be familiar.

**FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

Have you ever heard people say they don’t earn overtime because their jobs are “exempt”? What does it mean to be exempt? Perhaps you have heard statements about how pay in certain jobs compares with the minimum wage. Who decides what the minimum wage is? Both of these questions are related to the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA), a federal law that governs many compensation practices. The FLSA, which was passed in 1938, is designed to protect employees. The law establishes a national minimum wage, regulates overtime, requires equal pay for men and women, and establishes guidelines for employing children. Many types of workers, however, are exempt from FLSA regulations.

**Exempt and Nonexempt Employees**

The FLSA creates two broad categories of workers: exempt and nonexempt. Exempt employees are not covered by FLSA regulations. Members of the other group, often referred to as nonexempt employees, are covered. Perhaps the most noticeable difference between these groups is how they are paid. Exempt employees can be paid a salary. In most cases, they aren’t required to keep track of the actual hours they work. Nonexempt employees are paid an hourly wage. For these employees, the number of hours worked, including the beginning and ending times, must be carefully recorded. This basic difference stems from FLSA regulations. Employees who are covered by the FLSA are required to keep track of their hours and be paid on an hourly basis, whereas employees who are not covered can be paid a set amount that is not directly tied to hours worked.

What determines whether an employee is exempt or nonexempt? As a starting point in understanding these classifications, it is easiest to think of all employees as being covered by the FLSA and then identify which types of workers are exempt. The list of specific types of exempt workers is quite lengthy and includes specific types of laborers, such as amusement park employees and farm workers. There are, however, four general classifications that usually underlie the exempt designation.02

- The **executive exemption** applies to workers whose primary duties are managing a business and supervising others.
- The **administrative exemption** applies to workers who perform office or nonmanual work that is directly related to management. They must exercise substantial discretion and judgment in their work.
- The **professional exemption** applies to employees who perform tasks that require special skills and advanced knowledge learned through specialized study.
- Workers may also be exempt from the FLSA through the **outside sales exemption**, which applies to salespeople who work away from the place of business.
In any of these cases, the employees must spend at least 80 percent of their workday doing work activities that qualify them for the exemption. For instance, to qualify for the outside sales exemption, the salesperson must spend at least 80 percent of his or her time selling in locations other than the company premises. Table 11.2 provides a brief summary of the four major exemptions.

Accurately classifying employees as exempt or nonexempt is very important. One example of potential problems involves Nordstrom Department Stores. Nordstrom’s competitive strategy is to provide highly individualized service, which often requires employees to do things such as deliver goods. A number of years ago, Nordstrom ran into difficulties with state regulators when some sales associates failed to record hours they spent making deliveries and doing small tasks to facilitate sales. At the time, Nordstrom associates were paid a high hourly rate for the hours they did record, and they received substantial bonuses for high performance. Their overall pay was more than that of sales associates working for other department stores. However, Nordstrom sales associates were covered by the FLSA, which required them to record and be specifically compensated for every hour they worked. In order to fix the problem, Nordstrom developed procedures to ensure that its practices would comply with FLSA regulations—even though many employees would have preferred a system that did not require them to track each hour.

### Minimum Wage

Perhaps the most widely known aspect of the FLSA is its **minimum wage** requirement, which establishes a minimum hourly wage rate. In July 2009 the minimum wage was increased to $7.25 per hour. One exception is people who work in service jobs and receive tips. These workers must be paid a minimum of $2.13 as an hourly wage, and the company must pay them enough so that their total pay, including hourly wage and tips, is at least the minimum wage. In some cases, organizations can also pay workers under 20 years of age a training wage of $4.25 for the first 90 days they are employed. Pay for some employees who are covered by the FLSA may be based on productivity factors, such as the number of parts produced, as long as the hours worked are tracked and the minimum amount paid equals what would be paid as the minimum wage.

### Overtime

As explained at the beginning of this chapter, many employees at Marriott want to work more than 40 hours per week. One reason is that the FLSA requires Marriott and other employers to pay employees an overtime rate of 1.5 times the normal wage rate. As described in the “How Do We Know” feature, paying overtime can have an important effect on what an employee
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**Table 11.2**  
Common Exemptions to the Fair Labor Standards Act

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Executive Exemption</th>
<th>Professional Exemption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primarily manages a business or department</td>
<td>Performs tasks that require specialized knowledge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervises two or more employees; hires and fires</td>
<td>Produces original and creative work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercises discretion</td>
<td>Exercises discretion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administrative Exemption</th>
<th>Outside Sales</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performs office or nonmanual work</td>
<td>Regularly works away from place of business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performs technical work</td>
<td>Spends at least 80 percent of time selling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assists executives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Overtime** is defined as the number of hours over 40 during a one-week period. An employee who is paid $8 per hour and who works 50 hours in one week would thus be paid $440—$8 per hour for the basic 40-hour workweek, totaling $320, and $12 per hour for the 10 overtime hours, totaling $120.

Overtime requirements are slightly different for certain classes of employees. For instance, overtime for hospital employees is usually calculated over a 14-day period. In this case, overtime occurs when the number of hours in a two-week period exceeds 80. A hospital worker may therefore work 60 hours one week and not receive overtime compensation if she only works 20 hours the next week. Another major exception is public employees, such as firefighters and police officers. Rather than receive an overtime rate, these public employees can be compensated with additional time off during other weeks. For instance, a firefighter might work 50 hours one week and not be paid overtime if he works 10 hours less in a subsequent week. However, practices such as the use of broader windows of time and using time off to compensate for previous work above 40 hours are not available for most organizations.

**Child Labor**

Many high school students find it difficult to get a job before they turn 16. This is because the FLSA has child labor provisions that are designed to

---

**How Do We Know?**

**Do People Lose Sleep Over Pay?**

What happens when someone’s pay gets cut? Do people who receive a pay cut feel increased stress? Are they less able to sleep at night? Does being treated fairly reduce stress? Jerald Greenberg worked with four private hospitals to answer these questions. The hospitals were in the process of adding responsibilities to the job of nurse and reclassifying the position as exempt. Before the reclassification nurses typically worked 55 hours per week, meaning that they earned overtime pay. However, overtime pay was eliminated when the nurses became exempt employees. The net result was a 10 percent reduction in overall pay.

Because the hospitals did not all change at the same time, and because some of them included a training program for nurse supervisors, the change to exempt status and lower pay created an experiment. One group of 158 nurses received the pay cut and worked for supervisors who had received training in ways to treat the nurses fairly. A second group of 164 nurses had their pay cut, but their supervisors did not receive the training. A third group of 156 had supervisors who received the training but did not have a pay cut. A fourth group of 147 nurses did not receive a pay cut and their supervisors did not receive training. All nurses reported how well they could go to sleep quickly, stay asleep, and awake rested in the morning.

Before the pay cut all nurses reported similar sleep. Sleep problems increased for the two groups of nurses who had their pay cut but not for the nurses who did not have a pay cut. Over time the sleep of nurses with a pay cut who worked for the supervisors who had fairness training improved. Sleep did not improve for those with a cut who worked for the supervisors who were not trained.

**Bottom Line.** A reduction in pay can cause stress that leads to undesirable consequences such as poor sleep. However, supervisors who treat employees fairly can eliminate some of the negative effects of a pay cut. Professor Greenberg thus recommends that organizations train supervisors in ways to treat employees more fairly.

Motivating Employees Through Compensation

Chapter 3 discussed the Equal Pay Act, which requires employers to pay men and women the same when they perform the same job. The Equal Pay Act is actually an amendment to the FLSA. The equal pay requirement applies to executive, administrative, professional, and sales positions that are exempt from minimum wage and overtime rules. In essence, the Equal Pay Act requires organizations to pay equal wages for equal work. However, male and female employees can be paid at an unequal rate if the basis for the difference is seniority or performance.

Although the intent of the Equal Pay Act is to assure that men and women receive equal pay for doing similar work, differences in the overall compensation of men and women remain. Women continue to earn less than men, although the magnitude of the difference decreases as women are better represented in a work group. Pay is more equal in work groups where women are less of a minority. Some of this difference may be explained by job choice, in that women tend to track toward occupations that pay less. However, differences persist even after controlling for things like hours worked and job complexity. One explanation is the continued operation of a traditional stereotype that sees women as less fit for work outside the home. Interestingly, men who hold such a stereotype tend to earn more than men who have a perception of women as equal, yet women with a view of themselves as equals only earn slightly more than women who see themselves in a more traditional role. This illustrates how more research is needed to understand how stereotypes affect compensation.

STATE AND LOCAL REGULATIONS

As mentioned in our discussion of minimum wage rates, state and local governments also create regulations that affect compensation. Under federal law, these regulations cannot contradict federal rules, which usually means that they are stricter than the federal regulations. For example, some states such as Arkansas and Minnesota have minimum wage laws that have not been updated since the federal rate was raised in 2009, so that the minimum rate they prescribe is below the federal rate. These state laws are meaningless because employers must pay the higher federal rate. In contrast, other state and local governments such as Alaska and the District of Columbia have minimum wage rates higher than the federal minimum wage. These rules are acceptable, since requiring a wage rate of $8.25 per hour also meets the federal requirement of having an hourly wage rate of at least $7.25.

The large number of state and local regulations can make it difficult for organizations to keep track of all applicable compensation guidelines. Therefore, interpreting compensation regulations is an area in which human
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resource professionals can provide substantial help to managers. At a minimum, organizations need to conduct research to determine which federal, state, and local regulations apply to them. Much of this research can now be done online at state government sites that provide detailed information about compensation guidelines.

CONCEPT CHECK

1. What types of workers are exempt from FLSA regulations?
2. What compensation issues are covered by the FLSA?
3. How do state and local compensation laws relate to federal laws?

A MANAGER’S PERSPECTIVE REVISITED

IN THE MANAGER’S PERSPECTIVE that opened the chapter, Suzanne was worried about changing the pay practices at her accounting firm. She wondered whether the new pay policies would be beneficial. She was conflicted about matching an offer given to her administrative assistant by a local bank. She also wondered whether her own pay was fair and how the workers she supervised would react to the changes. Following are answers to the “What Do You Think?” quiz that followed the case. Were you able to correctly identify the true statements? Could you do better now?

1. If people don’t think they are being paid fairly, they often steal things from their employer. **TRUE.** Theft rates have been shown to increase when employees perceive inequity in their pay.
2. Increasing employee pay doesn’t increase motivation unless workers feel they have the skill and ability needed to increase their performance. **TRUE.** Expectancy theory suggests that compensation is only motivational when employees perceive that they are able to perform the tasks necessary for high performance.
3. People who are paid a lot don’t worry much about how much others are being paid. **FALSE.** Equity theory makes it clear that all employees compare their pay and contributions with the pay and contributions of others.

Suzanne’s concerns about changes in compensation are quite typical. In most cases, a change in compensation results in higher pay for some and lower pay for others. Those who are harmed are likely to become dissatisfied. In this chapter, we have described a number of motivation theories that help illustrate how people such as Suzanne and other accountants will react to specific types of compensation. We have also described practices for determining pay level and creating pay structures. As discussed throughout this chapter, the key to success is adopting compensation practices that ensure that pay is aligned with strategy so that the employees who are most critical for organizational success are attracted, satisfied, and retained.
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SUMMARY

Compensation differs along two dimensions. One dimension relates to whether compensation is variable or uniform. Uniform compensation seeks to build a sense of teamwork by paying employees similarly regardless of performance level. Variable compensation seeks to pay high performers substantially more than low performers. Another dimension of compensation concerns relational versus transactional commitment. Transactional commitments emphasize short-term pay and bonuses. Relational commitments emphasize long-term incentives and psychological support from the organization.

Compensation practices should align with general HR strategies. Uniform transactional compensation fits with a Bargain Laborer HR strategy by reducing labor costs. The emphasis on teamwork and treating everyone the same makes uniform relational compensation appropriate for organizations pursuing a Loyal Soldier HR strategy. The Committed Expert HR strategy is best supported by variable relational compensation, which rewards high performers and builds commitment to the organization. Short-term monetary incentives are a key feature of variable transactional compensation and thus align with the Free Agent HR strategy.

How is employee compensation strategic?

People show motivation at work by choosing to engage in behaviors that promote organizational success and by pursuing those behaviors in an intense and persistent way. Reinforcement theory suggests that people engage in behavior when they receive desirable consequents. However, consequents only motivate behavior when they are contingent. In the context of compensation, this suggests that higher pay should be given if and only if performance is high.

Goal-setting theory suggests that people are motivated when they have specific goals. Goals increase motivation by focusing attention, energizing effort, encouraging persistence, and promoting the discovery of knowledge. Goals can be linked to compensation in a number of ways ranging from rewards only for achieving a high goal to rewards for achieving smaller goals.

Justice theory focuses on perceptions of fairness. Distributive justice concerns whether people think the outcomes they receive are fair. People have a sense of inequity if they believe they are providing more contributions than others but receiving the same or lower wages. Procedural justice concerns the processes that are used to allocate rewards. Compensation practices are perceived to be fairer when they are free of bias, allow employee participation in key decisions, and offer opportunity for appeal to individuals who feel they are being mistreated.

Expectancy theory asserts that people are motivated when they have three beliefs: valence, instrumentality, and expectancy. Valence is the belief that a certain reward is valuable. Instrumentality is the belief that the desirable reward will really be given if the appropriate behavior or outcome is produced. Expectancy concerns people’s belief that they can actually achieve the desired level of performance.

Agency theory highlights the fact that principals (owners) and agents (employees) often have different interests. Employees who bear the risk of having their pay linked to performance must have the opportunity to earn more than employees who receive guaranteed wages. In large public corporations, agents (managers) have more information than principals (shareholders), and compensation is most effective when the agents are rewarded for doing the things that are in the best interests of shareholders.

How does compensation motivate people?

LEARNING OBJECTIVE 2

LEARNING OBJECTIVE 3

How is compensation level determined?

Pay surveys are used to determine wage rates in a comparison group of other organizations. Some information for pay surveys can be obtained from public sources such as the Bureau of Labor Statistics, but many surveys are conducted by private consulting firms. Quality pay surveys make sure
that the comparison group consists of organizations with the proper geographic and strategic characteristics. Care must also be taken to ensure that the jobs being assessed in the comparison group are similar to the jobs within the focal organization.

Pay level concerns how much an organization pays employees relative to how much other organizations pay. An organization can adopt one of three pay-level strategies. A lag-the-market strategy establishes a pay level that is below the average for other organizations; a meet-the-market strategy pays at the average level for other organizations; and a lead-the-market strategy adopts a pay level that is higher than the average for other organizations. The pay level strategy should match the overall HR strategy.

Pay structure concerns how people in an organization are paid relative to one another. With job-based pay, each job is assigned a point value based on various characteristics of the job, and people working in jobs worth more points receive higher pay. Advantages of job-based pay include objectivity, ease of comparisons across positions, and clear methods for administering pay. Skill-based pay uses differences in employee skills as the basis for determining pay. Employees are given an opportunity to earn more as they develop better skills. Advantages of skill-based pay include increased flexibility, greater emphasis on participation, and a better-trained workforce.

The FLSA regulates compensation for many employees. Some employees are exempt from FLSA regulations. Common exemptions include executive, administrative, and professional workers, as well as outside sales representatives. The FLSA establishes a minimum hourly wage and overtime provisions for workers who are not exempt. Children under 18 are limited to certain types of work and hours. Equal pay is required for men and women performing the same job, unless there are differences in tenure or productivity. State and local laws also provide similar guidelines that organizations must follow when paying employees.
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**Discussion Questions**

1. Why would an organization such as Marriott choose to pay wages that are higher than the wages paid by competitors?

2. How might you react if you learned that a coworker who is new to the organization makes more money than you, even though you have five years’ experience? What theoretical perspectives explain your reactions?

3. In organizations where you work, or will work, would you prefer compensation to be variable or uniform? Why?

4. What things other than compensation might encourage you to have a long career with a specific organization?

5. How would you react if you learned that your professor was going to pay $100 to the two individuals with the highest scores on the next exam? Would your study effort change? Would your enjoyment of the class change?

6. Under what conditions might an organization choose a lag-the-market pay strategy?

7. Which do you think is most fair: job-based pay or skill-based pay? Why?

8. Do you think child labor laws are really necessary in modern countries like the United States?

9. Do minimum wage laws help society? Why or why not? Do you think the current minimum wage is set at the right level? If not, what do you think a fair rate might be?

10. What specific aspects of compensation are most appropriate for an organization with a Loyal Soldier HR strategy? What characteristics are most closely aligned with a Free Agent HR strategy?

**Example Case**

*Delphi Corporation*

The United Auto Workers filed an objection to Delphi Corporation’s plan to offer its top executives cash and bonuses potentially valued at more than $500 million, arguing the proposal would impede the ability of the union to reach an agreement with the auto-parts supplier on wage and job cuts for hourly workers.

The UAW, along with other Delphi unions like the United Steel Workers, says the compensation plan “is decidedly the wrong message to Delphi’s workers,” at a time union members are being asked to accept pay cuts from an average of $26 an hour to about $12.50 an hour.

“It is imperative that the debtor’s key personnel are appropriately incentivized to maximize the financial performance of the debtor’s operations,” says Delphi in its motion supporting the compensation plan. “The alignment of an incentive program that tracks the debtor’s goals is crucial to the debtor’s ability to navigate through this process and emerge successfully from Chapter 11.”

Under its proposed employee-compensation plan, Delphi would allocate $21.8 million for cash bonuses to executives during the first six months of bankruptcy, and then an additional $87.9 million for 486 U.S. executives who would receive 30 to 250 percent of their salaries once Delphi emerges from bankruptcy.

The most potentially lucrative element of the compensation plan is a proposal to give Delphi’s top 600 worldwide executives 10 percent of the equity...
in the reorganized company, a stake the unions estimate could be worth $400 million.

**QUESTIONS**

1. How does equity theory explain the UAW’s reactions to the proposed compensation plan? How does equity theory explain Delphi leadership’s defense of the compensation proposal?

2. What type of competitive strategy does the proposed compensation plan best fit? How would the proposal affect pay structure within Delphi?

3. Based on concepts from agency theory and expectancy theory, how might the compensation proposal motivate executives?

4. What procedural justice issues have been violated by Delphi’s proposal? What would you recommend for a compensation plan at Delphi?
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**DISCUSSION CASE**

Joe’s Hamburger Grill

Joe’s Hamburger Grill has been doing business in the same location for the past 20 years. The Grill is located in Phoenix, Arizona, and caters to college students by providing some of the world’s biggest hamburgers in a fun and casual dining atmosphere. Joe looks back with fondness on the 20 years that have passed since he first opened the grill. His primary motivation for starting the business was the opportunity to work for himself. When he graduated from college, Joe took a job as an accountant and worked for a number of different companies. When he turned 40, Joe decided he was tired of working for a boss, so he began looking for an alternative opportunity. Knowing his love for cooking and his flair for providing great customer service, Joe’s wife and friends encouraged him to open the hamburger stand. After taking some time to decide what he wanted to do, Joe followed their advice and founded the business. By all accounts his efforts can be seen as a success. He has made a good living doing something that he truly enjoys.

When Joe turned 60 several years ago, he decided it was time to slow down and let someone else deal with the day-to-day hassles of running the business. He hired a manager to oversee operations at the Grill. After three months, the manager quit and started classes at the local university. Joe was then able to hire a manager who stayed for 18 months but left to work at a bigger store in Dallas, Texas. For the last three months, Joe has been trying to hire a new manager. He hasn’t been able to find someone he thinks will be a successful manager. Joe wonders if part of the problem is his compensation package.

When Joe hired the first manager, he decided to pay a monthly salary that included full health benefits. He didn’t know how much to pay as salary, so he asked the first manager how much she was making. He then offered her a $500 per month increase to work for him. The second manager seemed fine with the amount, but a few recent candidates have told him that he needs to pay more.
One day one of Joe’s customers told him that she was taking a human resource management class where they were discussing compensation issues. Joe described his dilemma about trying to decide how much to pay a store manager. The customer offered to do some research and learn more about pay levels for managers. A few days later she brought Joe a graph that had information about pay practices. She told Joe that she had been unable to locate specific information about pay for restaurant managers. However, she had found some information about food service supervisors. Just looking at the information she felt that the amount for the supervisor position was probably too low for someone who actually managed the entire restaurant. She thus found some additional information about the wages for general managers. She also looked at compensation figures for people who owned sales-related businesses. Knowing that Joe’s had lost one manager to a job in Dallas, she included information about compensation in Dallas and another large city—Los Angeles.

Joe looks at the information in the graph and wonders what to do with it. He wonders how important it is to take into account pay in other cities. Will he need to pay wages similar to what is being paid to managers at larger companies? Joe’s goal is to find a manager who will treat the Grill like an owner. He wants the manager to commit to several years of building and maintaining profitability. If things work out, he might even be willing to sell the Grill to a high-performing manager who shows loyalty.

QUESTIONS
1. What are some suggestions that might help Joe as he thinks about changing the way he pays someone to manage the Grill?
2. Do you think Joe’s approach to determining how much to pay a manager was successful? Would you recommend that he do something different?
3. How might agency theory guide Joe as he thinks about finding a manager who might someday become the owner of the Grill?
4. How can the concepts of equity theory guide Joe’s decisions concerning comparisons with pay in other cities and for other jobs?
5. How might FLSA standards apply to Joe’s compensation decisions?
Access the Bureau of Labor Statistics Internet site at www.bls.gov and find out how pay in your geographical area compares with pay in other geographical areas. First, find a wage survey on the site. Wage surveys can be filtered in a number of areas. One source is to look under the tab labeled “Occupations” with a click on “Wages by Area and Occupation.” Then click on the label “For 450 Occupations,” scroll down below “Get Detailed NCS Statistics” and create a customized table with input of a geographic area and occupation. The url is http://data.bls.gov/PDQ/outside.jsp?survey=nc. Look through the survey results and find pay data for five different jobs in the geographic area that is closest to where you live. Next, obtain data for the five jobs from two additional geographic areas.

One area should be very similar to where you live, and the other area should be very different. Compare the pay survey results for the five jobs in the three geographic areas. Answer the following questions:

**QUESTIONS**

1. Which job has pay that is most similar across the geographic areas?
2. Which geographic area has the highest pay level?
3. What do you think accounts for differences in pay level across geographic areas?
4. Why is pay higher in a geographic area for some jobs but not others?
5. What concerns would you have about using these data to actually determine how much to pay employees in the jobs you identified?

**Endnotes**
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